

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL APPEAL (SJ) No.4695 of 2025**

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-669 Year-2025 Thana- MOHANIYA District- Kaimur (Bhabua)

1. Krish Singh @ Krish Kumar Singh Son of Santosh Singh R/o Village - Adhawar, P.S. - Mohania, Dist. - Kaimur.
2. Chandan Singh @ Chandan Kumar Singh Son of Nanhku Singh R/o Village - Adhawar, P.S. - Mohania, Dist. - Kaimur.
3. Anshu Singh @ Anshu Kumar @ Anshu Kumar Singh Son of Mitu Singh @ Mrityunjay Singh R/o Village - Adhawar, P.S. - Mohania, Dist. - Kaimur.

... .. Appellant/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar
2. Shiv Bhajan Pasawan Son of Late Ram Ji Pasawan R/o Village - Sarhula, Post - Vadhini, P.S. - Mohania, Dist. - Kaimur.

... .. Respondent/s

Appearance :

For the Appellant/s	:	Ms.Kiran Kumari Sharma
For the Respondent/s	:	Mr.Binay Krishna, Spl. PP Mr. Amit Ranjan, Adv

**CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE SATYAVRAT VERMA
ORAL ORDER**

3 09-04-2026 1. Heard learned counsel for the appellants, learned Spl. P.P. for the State, Sri Binay Krishna and the learned counsel appearing on behalf of the informant.

2. This is an appeal under Section 14-A(2) of the Scheduled Castes and Scheduled Tribes (Prevention of Atrocities) Act, 1989 (hereinafter referred to as the “SC/ST Act”) against the refusal of prayer for anticipatory bail vide order dated 7-10-2025 in A.B.P. No. 1827 of 2025 passed by the learned 1st Additional Sessions Judge, Kaimur at Bhabua in connection with Mohania P.S. Case No. 669 of 2025 registered



for the offences punishable under Sections 126(2), 115(2), 109, 352, 351(2), 351(3) of BNS as well as Sections 3(1)(r)(s), 3(2)(va) of the SC/ST Act.

3. Learned counsel for the appellants submits that the appellants are persons with clean antecedent and the informant alleges that appellants intercepted his son 2-8-2025 at a bus stand and assaulted him by fighter etc. causing injury on head.

4. Learned counsel for the appellants submits that the appellants have been falsely implicated in the instant case by the informant. It is next submitted that from perusal of the allegations as alleged in the FIR, it would manifest that the same does not assign any motive for the occurrence. It is also submitted that allegation of assault is general and omnibus in nature, i.e., no specific allegation of assault is alleged against the appellants. It is further submitted that informant is not an eyewitness to the occurrence.

5. Learned Spl. P.P. for the State and the learned counsel appearing on behalf of the informant opposes the prayer for anticipatory bail of the appellants, but then are not in a position to rebut the submission of the learned counsel appearing on behalf of the appellants that informant is not an eyewitness to the occurrence and the allegation of assault and



abuse is general and omnibus in nature.

6. Considering the aforesaid facts, let the appellants above-named, in the event of their arrest or surrender within a period of six weeks from today, be released on anticipatory bail on furnishing bail bonds of Rs. 5,000/- (Rupees Five Thousand) each with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of the learned trial court where the case is pending/successor court in connection with the aforesaid case, subject to the conditions as laid down under Section 482 (2) of the BNSS.

7. Accordingly, the impugned order is set aside and the appeal stands allowed.

(Satyavrat Verma, J)

Sumit/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

