

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.23232 of 2019

=====

Asharfi Ram Son of Late Suneshwar Ram, Resident of Village-Shahpur, P.O. Baulia, P.S. Nauhatta, District-Rohtas.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar through Principal Secretary, Food and Civil Supplies Department, Government of Bihar, Patna.
2. District Magistrate, Rohtas.
3. Sub-Divisional Magistrate, Dehri, District Rohtas.
4. Block Supply Officer, Nauhatta Block, District Rohtas.

... .. Respondent/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Jitendra Prasad Singh, Adv.
For the Respondent/s : Mr. Arvind Ujjwal (SC4)

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE JUSTICE SMT. G. ANUPAMA CHAKRAVARTHY
ORAL ORDER

4 10-07-2026 1. The Writ petition is filed for the following
reliefs:

For issuance of an appropriate writ or writs directing the respondents to grant permission to the petitioner to continue his business of Public Distribution System under license No. 21/16 which has been attached with another dealer due to illness of the petitioner and/or to grant any other relief/reliefs for which the petitioner is legally entitled in the facts and circumstances of the case.

2. Heard the Learned counsel for the



petitioner as well as the Learned counsel for the respondents.

3. The contents of the Writ petition disclose that the petitioner was granted a license in the year 2007 and, due to his serious illness, he made an application before the licensing authority seeking leave for certain months. Subsequently, his shop was attached to another dealer. The Writ petition further disclose that petitioner again made an application before the authority on 11.10.2017 praying that he be permitted to run the business, but the same has been kept pending by the authority, and no action has been taken yet. Being aggrieved by the same, the present Writ petition was filed.

4. On perusal of Writ petition, it is evident that the P.D.S. shop was attached to another dealer way back in the year 2011, and there is no impugned order in the present matter. The said action was taken at the instance of the petitioner himself. Therefore, the petitioner is directed to make a fresh application before the concerned



authority and, the concerned authority shall consider the same as and when a vacancy arises.

5. With the aforesaid observation, the Writ petition stands disposed of.

6. Interlocutory application, if any, shall also stand disposed of.

(G. Anupama Chakravarthy, J)

Amandeep/-

U			
---	--	--	--

