

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.83971 of 2025

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-84 Year-2024 Thana- CHHAURADANO District- East
Champaran

Sonu Singh Son of Ram Naresh Singh @ Ram Naresh Kuvar Village
-Lattipur PS -Bathnaha District -Sitamarhi

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr.Ravi Ranjan
For the Opposite Party/s : Mr.Pramod Kumar Pandey

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE SATYAVRAT VERMA
ORAL ORDER

- 2 27-02-2026 1. Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and
learned A.P.P. for the State.
2. The petitioner seeks bail in a case registered for the
offences punishable under Sections 326, 307 and 120B of IPC
and Section 27 of Arms Act.
3. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the
petitioner has antecedent of eight cases and allegation is of
causing fire-arm injury to the informant.
4. Learned counsel for the petitioner next submits that
petitioner is not named in the FIR and his name transpired in the
confessional statement of Bhajju Thakur. It is further submitted
that Bhajju Thakur had approached this Court seeking regular
bail by filing Cr. Misc No. 69538 of 2024 and the same was



permitted to be withdrawn with liberty to Bhajju Thakur to renew his prayer for bail after framing of charge, thereafter Bhajju Thakur moved this Court seeking regular bail by filing Cr. Misc No. 55856 of 2025 and the same came to be allowed by an order dated 8-8-2025 since charges against him were framed. It is thus submitted that person, in whose confession the name of the petitioner transpired, has been granted the privilege of regular bail, as such the petitioner be also granted the said privilege. It is further submitted that charges against the petitioner also stands framed by an order dated 21-6-2025 as recorded in the order impugned. It is next submitted that even Bhajju Thakur had criminal antecedents. It is submitted that if privilege of bail is granted to the petitioner, the petitioner will not abscond rather will cooperate in the trial to prove his innocence.

5. Learned A.P.P. for the State vehemently opposes the prayer for bail of the petitioner.

6. Considering the submission made by learned counsel for the petitioner, let the petitioner above named be released on bail on furnishing bail bond of Rs. 50,000/- (Rupees Fifty Thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of the learned trial court where the case is



pending/successor court in connection with Chhauradana P.S.
Case No. 84 of 2024.

7. One of the bailors of the petitioner shall be his
father, i.e., Ram Naresh Singh @ Ram Naresh Kuvar.

8. However, it is made clear that if the learned trial
court comes to a conclusion that the petitioner after his release
is trying to delay the trial in any manner, the learned trial court
shall be at liberty to cancel the bail bonds of the petitioner.

(Satyavrat Verma, J)

Sumit/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

