

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.82145 of 2025

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-86 Year-2023 Thana- PARBATTa District- Khagaria

=====

Gautam Singh @ Rahul Singh Son of Luro Singh Resident of Village - Karna,
P.S.- Parbatta, District - Khagaria.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s	:	Mr. N.K. Agrawal, Sr. Advocate
		Mr. Braj Bhusan Poddar, Advocate
For the Opposite Party/s :		Mr. Navin Kumar Pandey, APP
For the Informant	:	Mr. Santosh Kr. Singh, Advocate

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE PARTHA SARTHY
ORAL ORDER

7 18-03-2026

1. Heard learned counsel for the parties.

2. The petitioner has renewed his prayer for grant of regular bail in connection with Parbatta P.S. Case no.86 of 2023 registered under sections 302, 323, 325, 341, 120B and 34 of the Indian Penal Code and section 27 of the Arms Act.

3. As per the prosecution case, the informant states that the accused persons named in the FIR including the petitioner herein came variously armed with country made pistol, *lathi*, *danda* etc. On the orders of Sita Devi, it is stated that Luro Singh fired hitting the informant's wife in her face. The second shot was fired by this petitioner which hit the informant's wife in her chest and she died on the spot. On *hulla* being raised, the accused persons escaped.

4. Learned Senior counsel appearing for the petitioner



submits that the earlier application for bail of the petitioner was rejected vide order dated 15.4.2025 passed in Cr. Misc. no.2862 of 2025. The petitioner is in custody since 31.10.2023 and charge has been framed in the learned trial Court on 11.3.2026. The petitioner undertakes to cooperate in the trial and to abide by any conditions which may be laid by this Court for his release on bail.

5. The prayer for bail is opposed by learned A.P.P for the State and learned counsel for the informant. It is submitted by learned counsel for the informant that the petitioner is the assailant of the deceased. Charge has been framed in the learned trial Court and the prosecution is ready to produce the witnesses on day to day basis.

6. Having heard learned counsel for the parties and taking into consideration the allegation against the petitioner of having fired upon the wife of the informant causing firearm injury in her chest leading to her death, the Court is not inclined to enlarge the petitioner on bail and the application is rejected.

7. Learned trial Court is directed to expedite the trial.

(Partha Sarthy, J)

Shiv/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

