IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.82532 of 2026

CNR No. BRHC011198352026

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-408 Year-2020 Thana- KOILWAR District- Bhojpur

==========

Krishna Rai Son of Kishundeo Rai Resident of Village- Durjanchak, P.S.- Koilwar, District- Arrah.

... ... Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... ... Opposite Party/s

==========

Appearance :
For the Petitioner/s : Mr.Usha Kumari Singh
For the Opposite Party/s : Mr.Binod Kumar

==========

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE SATYAVRAT VERMA

ORAL ORDER

2 20-11-2026 1. Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and learned A.P.P. for the State.

2. The petitioner seeks bail in a case registered for the offences punishable under Sections 147, 148, 149, 341, 323, 307, 302, 427, 379, 504, 506 of the Indian Penal Code and Section 27 of the Arms Act.

3. The learned counsel appearing on behalf of the petitioner submits that this is the 5th attempt of the petitioner to seek regular bail, it is further submitted that petitioner is in custody since 29.12.2020, it is next submitted that out of ten prosecution witnesses, eight prosecution witnesses have been examined, on which the learned APP submits that while rejecting the regular bail application of the petitioner by an order dated 09.03.2022 in Criminal Miscellaneous No. 47538 of

WEB COPY

2021 the Court had considered the case on merits and in detail, it is further submitted that since eight witnesses have been examined as such the trial is on the verge of conclusion, it is next submitted that from perusal of the order annexed with the instant regular bail application, it manifests that application of the petitioner for seeking treatment in a hospital has been acted upon and the petitioner is being treated even, the said submission of the learned APP with regard to treatment is not disputed by the learned counsel appearing on behalf of the petitioner.

4. After hearing learned counsel for the parties and taking into consideration the submission made by the learned APP for State, the Court is not inclined to release the petitioner on bail.

5. Hence, the prayer for bail is rejected.

6. However, the learned Trial Court is directed to expedite the trial, and the Court expects that the trial would be concluded within a period of six months from the date of receipt or production of a copy of this order.

vikram/-

U		T	

(Satyavrat Verma, J)

WEB COPY