

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.82630 of 2025

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-483 Year-2025 Thana- CHAINPUR District- Kaimur (Bhabua)

Dipu Ram, S/O Dinesh Ram, Resident of Village- Narsinghpur, P.S.-
Chainpur, District- Kaimur, Bhabhua

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar
2. X W/O Y Resident of Vill.- Narsinghpur, P.S.- Chainpur, Dist.- Kaimur,
Bhabhua

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr.Pawan Kumar Singh, Advocate

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr.Murli Dhar, APP
Mr. Sadanand Roy, Advocate

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE ARUN KUMAR JHA
ORAL ORDER

3 11-02-2026 Heard learned counsel for the petitioner, learned

APP for the State and learned counsel for the opposite party

no.2.

2. In the present case, the petitioner seeks bail in
connection with Chainpur P.S. Case No. 483 of 2025, registered
for the alleged offences under Section 76 of BNS, 2023 and
Sections 8/12 of POCSO Act.

3. As per prosecution case, the petitioner took the
minor daughter of the informant to a solitary place while she
had been grazing her goats and tried to sexually assault her.

4. The learned counsel for the petitioner submits that
the petitioner is innocent and has been falsely implicated in this



case. The true fact of the case is that the victim girl was grazing her cattle in the field of the petitioner, who scolded her and for this reason, this false and fabricated case has been lodged against the petitioner. The learned counsel further submits that no case under Section 76 BNS is made out against the petitioner as only allegation against the petitioner is that he tried to outrage the modesty of the daughter of the informant. The matter has even been compromised between the parties. The learned counsel further submits that the petitioner is in custody since 14.10.2025 and is having clean antecedent. The charge sheet has been submitted.

5. Learned APP vehemently opposes the submission made on behalf the petitioner.

6. The learned counsel for the opposite party no.2 admits the factum of compromise and submits that the allegation is regarding outraging the modesty of the daughter of the informant.

7. Having regard to the facts and circumstances and submission made on behalf of the parties and considering the nature of allegation and further considering the submission of charge sheet, period of custody of the petitioner along with his clean antecedent, the petitioner is directed to be released on bail



on furnishing bail bond of Rs. 10,000/- (ten thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of learned Additional Sessions Judge-VI-cum-Special Judge, POCSO Act, Kaimur at Bhabua/court concerned, in connection with Chainpur P.S. Case No. 483 of 2025, subject to the conditions mentioned in Section 480 (3) of BNSS and also the following conditions :

- (i) One of the bailors will be a close relative of the petitioner.
- (ii) The petitioner will remain present on each and every date fixed by the court below.
- (iii) In case of absence on three consecutive dates or in violation of the terms of the bail, the bail bond of the petitioner will be liable to be cancelled by the court concerned.

(Arun Kumar Jha, J)

V.K.Pandey/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

