

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.86302 of 2025

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-368 Year-2025 Thana- SUPAUL District- Supaul

Ravindra Paswan Son of Tejeshwai Paswan @ Bindeshwari Paswan @
Tejasvi Paswan R/o Villlage - Laoodh(Ward no. 05), P.S. - Supaul Dist. -
Supaul.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar
2. Mr. X Son of Y R/o N-116/207, J.J. Camp Badli Gaon Samailpur North West
Delhi - 110042

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Arun Kumar Jha, Advocate
For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Jharkhandi Upadhyay, APP

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE SATYAVRAT VERMA
ORAL ORDER

2 21-01-2026 1. Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and
learned A.P.P. for the State.

2. The petitioner apprehends his arrest in connection
with Supaul P.S. Case No. 368 of 2025 registered for the
offences punishable under Sections 65 and 3(5) of the BNS,
2023 read with Sections 4 and 6 of the POCSO Act.

3. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that
petitioner is a person with clean antecedent and the informant
alleges that he was informed by Child Welfare Committee that
his minor daughter was living in Alpawas, Supaul since
08.07.2025, accordingly he came to meet his daughter who
disclosed that a girl named Madhu resided on rent in a flat near



informant's house at Delhi and she enticed her and brought her to village Veena Andoli, Supaul and handed over her to petitioner and also gave a mobile, but petitioner snatched the mobile and raped her for several days, further one day petitioner took her to the market where she met a girl Jyoti and disclosed about her ordeal, hence Jyoti took her to her house and informed the police and thereafter the victim was brought to Alpawas.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that petitioner has been falsely implicated in the instant case by the informant, it is next submitted that allegation of snatching the mobile and rape is exaggerated. It is also submitted that the petitioner was known to Madhu and Madhu had brought the victim to Supaul where the victim stayed at her house for some times, but then falsely alleged that she was enticed by Madhu and brought to Sapaul where she was raped.

5. Learned A.P.P. for the State vehemently opposes the anticipatory bail application and submits that victim is a minor and based on her disclosure, the instant FIR has been instituted, as such the offence is serious and investigation of the case is in nascent stages and if privilege of anticipatory bail is granted to the petitioner, he may abscond or try to tamper with the evidence.



6. Considering the submissions made by the learned APP for the State, the Court is not inclined to extend the privilege of anticipatory bail to the petitioner.

7. Accordingly, the instant anticipatory bail application stands rejected.

(Satyavrat Verma, J)

Rishabh/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

