

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.82209 of 2025

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-79 Year-2025 Thana- MUNGER MUFFASIL District- Munger

Anil Yadav Son of Late Mahendra Yadav R/o Village - Shankarpur, Milki
Tola, P.S. - Muffasil, Dist. - Munger.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Bijendra Kumar Singh, Advocate

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Ajit Kumar, APP

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE RAJESH KUMAR VERMA
ORAL ORDER

3 27-01-2026 Heard Mr. Bijendra Kumar Singh, learned counsel
for the petitioner and Mr. Ajit Kumar, learned Additional Public
Prosecutor for the State.

2. The petitioner seeks bail, who is in custody since
18.07.2025 in connection with Muffasil P.S. Case No. 79 of
2025, F.I.R. dated 15.03.2025 for the offences punishable under
Sections 191(2), 191(3), 190, 103(1), 109 of the B.N.S. and
Section 27 of the Arms Act.

3. According to prosecution case, all the FIR named
accused persons including the petitioner came at the door of the
informant and made indiscriminate firing upon which informant
and his family members due to which informant's son died
during treatment.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that



petitioner is innocent and he has falsely been implicated in the present case. He further submits that although the petitioner is named in the FIR but from bare perusal of FIR, it appears that there is no specific allegation of any assault or overt act or firing is attributed against the petitioner rather there is general and omnibus allegation against the petitioner. He further submits that the police after investigation, submitted chargesheet against the petitioner and the petitioner is in custody since 18.07.2025.

5. Learned APP for the State has vehemently opposed the prayer for bail of the petitioner and submits that the petitioner is named in the FIR and apart from aforesaid, petitioner carries two criminal antecedents other than the present one but fairly submits on the basis of paragraph 3 of bail petition that the petitioner is on bail in the pending matters.

6. Considering the aforesaid facts and circumstances of the case and there is no specific allegation of assault or overt act or firing attributed against the petitioner rather there is general and omnibus allegation against the petitioner, let the petitioner, above named, be released on bail on furnishing bail bond of Rs. 10,000/- (Ten Thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of the learned Chief Judicial Magistrate, Munger in connection with Muffasil P.S. Case No.



79 of 2025, with the following conditions:

i. Petitioner shall co-operate in the trial and shall be properly represented on each and every date fixed by the court and shall remain physically present as directed by the court and on her absence on two consecutive dates without sufficient reason, his/her bail bond shall be cancelled by the Court below.

ii. If the petitioner tampers with the evidence or the witnesses, in that case, the prosecution will be at liberty to move for cancellation of bail.

iii. And further condition that the court below shall verify the criminal antecedent of the petitioner and in case at any stage it is found that the petitioner has concealed his criminal antecedent, the court below shall take step for cancellation of bail bond of the petitioner. However, the acceptance of bail bonds in terms of the above-mentioned order shall not be delayed for purpose of or in the name of verification.

(Rajesh Kumar Verma, J)

Ranjeet/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

