

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.82044 of 2025

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-7203 Year-2023 Thana- PATNA COMPLAINT CASE District-
Patna

=====

Ravi Kumar Raj @ Ramashankar @ Jhunjhun S/o- Jamadar Pandit R/O
Village- Karamachak PS- Kalyanpur District- East Champaranat Motihari

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar
2. Ranjna Kumari D/o- Amresh Pandit R/O Village- Bispatti Ward No-03 PS-
Sursand District-Sitamarhi

... .. Opposite Party/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner	:	Mr. Shashank Shekhar, Advocate
For the State	:	Mr. Damodar Prasad Tiwary, APP
For the Complainant	:	Mr. Jeetendra Narayan, Advocate

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE PRABHAT KUMAR SINGH
ORAL ORDER

5 13-03-2026 Heard learned counsel appearing on behalf of the

petitioner, learned APP appearing on behalf of the State and

learned counsel appearing on behalf of the

complainant/Opposite Party No. 2.

2. The petitioner apprehends his arrest in a complaint
case registered for the offences punishable under Section 498A
of the Indian Penal Code and Sections 3 and 4 of the Dowry
Prohibition Act.

3. As per the prosecution case, this petitioner, along
with other F.I.R. named accused persons, is alleged to have
tortured and harassed the complainant due to non-fulfillment of



demand of dowry.

4. Learned counsel appearing for the petitioner submits and petitioner is quite innocent and has committed no offence. While denying the allegations, learned counsel for the petitioner submits that petitioner has falsely been implicated in this case merely because he is husband of the complainant/Opposite Party No. 2. Moreover, petitioner is ready to keep her with full honour and safety. As a matter of fact, at no point of time, petitioner committed torture or harassment with the complainant and neither demanded dowry. He further submits that pursuant to order passed in the maintenance case filed by the complainant/Opposite Party No. 2, bearing Maintenance Case No. 100 of 2023, petitioner is already paying an interim maintenance of Rs. 8,000/- per month to the complainant and undertakes to continue paying the same till further orders. Petitioner claims clean antecedent.

5. On the other hand, learned A.P.P. for the State and learned counsel for the complainant/Opposite Party No. 2 have vehemently opposed the prayer for grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioner.

6. Considering the facts and circumstances of the case, nature of accusation and clean antecedents of the



petitioner, the prayer for grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioner is allowed.

7. Accordingly, in the event of arrest/surrender within a period of eight weeks from today, let the above named petitioner be enlarged on bail on furnishing bail-bond of Rs. 10,000/- (ten thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of learned Sub-Divisional Judicial Magistrate, Patna in connection with Patna Complaint Case No. 7203(c) of 2023, subject to condition as laid down under Section 482(2) of the B.N.S.S..

(Prabhat Kumar Singh, J)

shashank/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

