

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.82157 of 2025

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-60 Year-2025 Thana- TETERHAT District- Lakhisarai

=====

Sourav Kumar, S/o- Mahesh Yadav, R/o Village- Khairi, P.S.- Tetarhat, Distt-
Lakhisarai

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Rakesh Kumar Chandram, Advocate

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Uma Shankar Prasad Singh, APP

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE ASHOK KUMAR PANDEY
ORAL ORDER

3 22-01-2026 Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and learned
APP for the State.

2. The petitioner has prayed for bail in connection with Tetarhat P.S. Case No. 60 of 2025 registered for the offence punishable under Sections 96, 303(2), 352, 351(2) and 3(5) of the B.N.S. .

3. The case of the prosecution, in short, is that the petitioner has kidnapped the minor daughter of the informant for the purpose of marriage.

4. Learned counsel appearing on behalf of the petitioner has submitted that petitioner is innocent and has committed no offence. He has been falsely implicated in this case. During course of investigation, the victim was recovered



and she has given her statement under Section 183 of the B.N.S.S. wherein she has stated she has gone to station and she has also taken her jewellery. There, she met the petitioner who was waiting for her. From there, they went to Bangalore by train. She has further stated that she has solemnized marriage with the petitioner. They were living there in a rented room. When the sister-in-law of the victim informed her regarding case, they have returned. It has also been submitted by learned counsel for the petitioner that from perusal of the statement of the victim under Section 183 of the B.N.S.S., it is clear that she was not being enticed by this petitioner rather she herself has gone out of her house on her own will. Petitioner is having no criminal antecedent and he is languishing in judicial custody since 06.09.2025.

5. Learned APP appearing for the State has vehemently opposed the application for bail.

6. Having heard learned counsel for the parties and considering the facts and circumstances of the case, this Court is inclined to enlarge the petitioner on bail. The above named petitioner is directed to be enlarged on bail on furnishing bail bond of Rs. 10,000/- (Rs. ten thousand only) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of the learned Chief



Judicial Magistrate, Lakhisarai in connection with Tetarhat P.S.
Case No.60 of 2025.

(Ashok Kumar Pandey, J)

lata/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

