

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.82294 of 2025

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-651 Year-2025 Thana- MUZAFFARPUR SADAR District-
Muzaffarpur

=====

Md Katil Mian @ Katil Ahmad @ Md. Katil Son of Late Md. Yusuf Jaan @
Late Md. Yusuf Mian R/o Village - Majhaulia Khetal, P.S. - Sadar, Dist. -
Muzaffarpur.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s	:	Mr. Ajay Kumar Thakur, Advocate Mr. Ritvik Thakur, Advocate Mrs. Vaishnavi Singh, Advocate
For the Opposite Party/s :		Mr. Parmanand Kumar, APP
For the Informant	:	Mr. Shambhu Narayan Singh, Advocate

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE JUSTICE SMT. SONI SHRIVASTAVA
ORAL ORDER

3 18-02-2026 Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and learned
APP for the State.

2. The petitioner seeks bail in a case registered for the
offence punishable under Sections 103(1) and 3(5) of the
B.N.S., 2023 and section 27 of the Arms Act.

3. As per the prosecution case, it is alleged that on
23.07.2025, all the F.I.R. named accused persons, including this
petitioner, intercepted husband of informant and fired upon him
due to which he died and thereafter, all the accused persons fled
away while firing.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that
from perusal of First Information Report itself it would be



evident that specific allegation of opening firing is on Md. Tufail @ Bhuttu and Md. Kapil and there is no specific accusation of firing upon the petitioner on the deceased. It is further submitted that similarly situated co-accused person, namely Md. Akil Ahmad has already been granted bail by a coordinate Bench of this Court vide order dated 24.11.2025 passed in Cr. Misc. No. 80769 of 2025 and the petitioner is in custody since 01.08.2025 and charge-sheet has already been submitted.

5. Learned APP for the State and learned counsel for the informant have opposes the grant of bail on the ground that the petitioner has six criminal antecedents. However, in response it has been submitted that petitioner is acquitted in all the six cases.

6. Taking into consideration the facts and circumstances and considering the fact that there is no specific allegation of firing against the petitioner, coupled with the fact that similarly situated co-accused person has already been granted bail by a Coordinate Bench of this Court, let the above named petitioner, be released on bail on furnishing bail bond of Rs. 10,000/- (Rupees Ten Thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of the learned Chief Judicial



Magistrate, Muzaffarpur, Muzaffarpur/concerned Court below
in connection with Sadar P.S. Case No. 651 of 2025, subject to
condition that:-

(i) The petitioner shall remain physically present in
court on each and every date during trial and in the event of
failure on two consecutive dates without sufficient reasons, his
bail bonds shall be liable to be cancelled by the learned court
concerned.

(Soni Shrivastava, J)

Ranjeet/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

