

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Miscellaneous Jurisdiction Case No.3828 of 2025

In
Criminal Writ Jurisdiction Case No.2169 of 2025

=====

Ravi Shankar Singh, Son of Late Brahamdeo Singh, Resident of Village- Uli Banahi, P.O.- Nauhatta, P.S.- Nauhatta, District- Rohtas, Pin Code- 821304 (Bihar).

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar through the Secretary, Department of Home (Police) Government of Bihar, Patna.
2. The Director General of Police, Government of Bihar, Patna.
3. The Deputy Inspector General of Police, Shahabad Range, Dehri, District- Rohtas.
4. The District Magistrate, Rohtas, District- Rohtas.
5. The Superintendent of Police, Rohtas, District- Rohtas.
6. The Deputy Superintendent of Police, Dehri -on- Sone Rohtas, District- Rohtas (Bihar).
7. The Station House Officer, Nauhatta Police Station, Rohtas, District- Rohtas (Bihar).
8. Santyam Bhardwaj, Son of Pramod Pandey, Resident of Village- Banahi, P.O.- Nauhatta, P.S.- Nauhatta, District- Rohtas (Bihar).
9. Santan Bhardwaj, Son of Pramod Pandey, Resident of Village- Banahi, P.O.- Nauhatta, P.S.- Nauhatta, District- Rohtas (Bihar).

... .. Opposite Party/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr.Abhishek
For the Opposite Party/s : Mr.Standing Counsel 15

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE ARUN KUMAR JHA
ORAL ORDER

3 10-04-2026 The present petition has been filed for modification of the order dated 19.09.2025 passed in Cr.WJC No.2169 of 2025 by this Court.

2. The learned counsel for the petitioner submits that Khata No.293 of Plot No. 991 of Mauza-Banahi, P.S.-Nauhatta, Thana No. 652, District-Rohtas was the subject matter of Title



Suit No. 74/1983 in which right, title and possession of the family members of the petitioner has been declared. Khata number has rightly been mentioned at page no. 21 of the writ application and paragraph 3 of the judgment in Title Appeal No. 14/1999. However, in the representation filed before the respondent authorities, which was annexed as P/3 of the writ application, *Khata* number has wrongly been typed as 193 in place of *Khata* No.293 and, for this reason, *Khata* No. 193 got mentioned in paragraph 3 of the order dated 19.09.2025 passed by this Court. The learned counsel prays for correction in the *khata* number submitting that it was an inadvertent mistake and learned counsel seeks apology from this Court for the inconvenience caused.

3. The learned counsel for the State-respondent has no objection if any correction has been made.

4. In the light of the facts and circumstances and considering the fact that in paragraph 3 of the judgment passed in Title Appeal No. 14/1999, *Khata* No. 293 has been mentioned for Plot No. 991 having an area of 11.82 decimals and, therefore, taking into consideration the submission of the petitioner, the order dated 19.09.2025 passed in Cr.WJC No. 2169 of 2025 is modified to the extent that *Khata* No. 193 will



be read as *Khata* No. 293.

5. Accordingly, the present petition stands disposed
of.

(Arun Kumar Jha, J)

V.K.Pandey/-

U			
---	--	--	--

