

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.82764 of 2025

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-15 Year-2025 Thana- MUNGER MUFFASIL District- Munger

Awadh Kumar S/O Fekan Paswan R/O Village - Harijan Tola, Binda Diara,
P.S- Bariyarpur, Dist.- Munger

... .. Petitioner

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Raj Kumar Choudhary, Advocate

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Amitesh Kumar, APP

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE SANDEEP KUMAR
ORAL ORDER

3 11-02-2026 Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and
learned APP for the State.

2. This is the second attempt on behalf of the
petitioner for grant of bail in connection with Muffasil P.S. Case
No. 15 of 2025 registered for the offence under Sections 309(6),
109, 118(2) of the B.N.S. and Section 27 of the Arms Act.

3. Earlier, the bail of the petitioner was rejected
by this Court vide order dated 30.07.2025 passed in Criminal
Miscellaneous No.45308 of 2025. The aforesaid order dated
30.07.2025 reads as under:-

*“Heard the learned counsel for the petitioner
and learned APP for the State.*

*2. The petitioner seeks regular bail in
connection with Muffasil P.S. Case No. 15 of
2025 registered for the offence under Sections
309(6), 109, 118(2) of the B.N.S. and Section*



27 of the Arms Act.

3. As per the prosecution case, unknown criminals are said to have shot at the informant and committed robbery. Co-accused, namely, Ritesh Kumar, from whom looted articles were recovered, has made a self inculpatory statement and he has named the other accused persons including the petitioner.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner is innocent and has committed no offence. He submits that there is no recovery from the conscious possession of the petitioner and the petitioner has not been put on T.I. Parade. The petitioner is in custody since 28.01.2025.

5. Learned A.P.P. has vehemently opposed the prayer for bail.

6. Considering the aforesaid facts and circumstances and the fact that the petitioner is accused in three more cases of similar and serious nature and he is involved in a loot, I am not inclined to grant bail to the petitioner.

7. Accordingly, this application for regular bail is hereby rejected.

8. The Superintendent of Police, Munger will submit a report as to why the petitioner and other accused persons were not put to T.I. Parade. The report must be submitted within two weeks of receipt/production of a copy of this order.

9. Let a copy of this order be communicated to the Superintendent of Police,



*Munger, through FAX for its compliance
forthwith.*

4. It has been submitted by learned counsel for the petitioner that even the case has not been committed till date.

5. Considering the gravity of offence, I am not inclined to review my earlier order dated 30.07.2025. Accordingly, this bail application is dismissed.

6. The Principal District & Sessions Judge, Munger is directed to ensure the commitment of the case and framing of charge at the earliest.

(Sandeep Kumar, J)

pawan/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

