

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA**  
**CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.81442 of 2025**

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-371 Year-2025 Thana- AKHODHIGOLA District- Rohtas

Vivek Sagar S/o Lakhan Singh R/o Village- Sentakhera, P.O and Tehsil-  
Tanda, P.S- Tanda Badli, Distt- Rampur, uttar Pardesh

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

**Appearance :**

|                      |   |                                  |
|----------------------|---|----------------------------------|
| For the Petitioner/s | : | Mr. Babu Nandan Prasad, Advocate |
| For the State        | : | Mr. Anil Kumar, APP              |

**CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE RAJESH KUMAR VERMA**  
**ORAL ORDER**

3      27-01-2026                      Heard Mr. Babu Nandan Prasad, learned counsel for  
the petitioner and Mr. Anil Kumar, learned APP for the State.

2. Petitioner seeks bail, who is in custody since 22.09.2025, in connection with Akorhigola P.S. Case No. 371 of 2025, F.I.R. dated 20.09.2025 registered for the offences punishable under Sections 137(2), 96 of the B.N.S.

3. Allegation against the petitioner is that he has kidnapped the victim girl and made physical relationship with her.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner has clean antecedent and he has been falsely implicated in the present case. He further submits that the allegation as alleged in the F.I.R. is false and fabricated and the petitioner has not committed any offence as alleged in the F.I.R.



In fact, the petitioner was in love with the victim girl and it has come during investigation that she has refused for her medical examination. Although she has supported the case of the prosecution and the police after investigation submitted chargesheet against the petitioner and the petitioner is in custody since 22.09.2025.

5. The learned Additional Public Prosecutor for the State has vehemently opposed the prayer for bail of the petitioner.

6. Considering the facts and circumstances of the case and the fact that the petitioner having clean antecedent and victim has refused for her medical examination, let the petitioner, above named, be released on bail on furnishing bail bond of Rs. 10,000/- (Rupees Ten Thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of learned S.D.J.M. Dehri, Rohtas in connection with Akorhigola P.S. Case No. 371 of 2025, subject to the following conditions :-

(1) Petitioner shall co-operate in the trial and shall be properly represented on each and every date fixed by the Court and shall remain physically present as directed by the Court and on his absence on two consecutive dates without sufficient reason, his bail bond shall be cancelled by the Court below.



(2) If the petitioner tampers with the evidence or the witness, in that case, the prosecution will be at liberty to move for cancellation of bail.

(3) And, further condition that the court below shall verify the criminal antecedent of the petitioner and in case at any stage, it is found that the petitioner has concealed his criminal antecedents, the court below shall take step for cancellation of bail bond of the petitioner. However, the acceptance of bail bonds in terms of the above-mentioned order shall not be delayed for purpose of or in the name of verification.

**(Rajesh Kumar Verma, J)**

Ibrar//-

|   |  |   |  |
|---|--|---|--|
| U |  | T |  |
|---|--|---|--|

