

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.81636 of 2025

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-122 Year-2015 Thana- PHULPARAS District- Madhubani

Raj Lal Yadav S/O Late Jugut Yadav R/O Village- Mahuliya, P.S- Phulparas,
Dist.- Madhubani.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s	:	Mr. Lakshmindra Kumar Yadav, Advocate
For the State	:	Mr. Umanath Mishra, APP

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE RAJESH KUMAR VERMA
ORAL ORDER

4 31-01-2026 Heard Mr. Lakshmindra Kumar Yadav, learned
counsel for the petitioner and Mr. Umanath Mishra, learned APP
for the State.

2. Petitioner seeks bail, who is in custody since
04.09.2025, in connection with Phulparas P.S. Case No. 122 of
2015, G.R. No. 612 of 2015 F.I.R. dated 24.04.2015 registered
for the offences punishable under Sections 147, 148, 379, 323,
324, 307, 447 and 504 of the Indian Penal Code.

3. Allegation against the petitioner is that he along
with other co-accused persons have assaulted the son of the
informant by means of Bhala as a result of which he sustained
injuries.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the
petitioner has clean antecedent and he has been falsely
implicated in the present case. It appears from the F.I.R. itself



that due to admitted land dispute the present occurrence had taken place and there was no intention to kill any one and there is specific allegation that he has assaulted to the son of the informant by means of Bhala and although the informant has received abdominal injury but the final injury report has not come as yet. He further submits that co-accused person namely Brahmdeo Yadav has been granted the privilege of anticipatory bail by a Coordinate Bench of this Court vide order dated 25.04.2018 passed in Acr. Misc. No. 20515 of 2018 and the police after investigation submitted chargesheet against the petitioner and the petitioner is in custody since 04.09.2025.

5. The learned Additional Public Prosecutor for the State has vehemently opposed the prayer for bail of the petitioner.

6. Considering the facts and circumstances of the case and the fact that the petitioner having clean antecedent and the present occurrence had taken place due to admitted land dispute and co-accused person has been granted the privilege of anticipatory bail by a Coordinate Bench of this Court, let the petitioner, above named, be released on bail on furnishing bail bond of Rs. 10,000/- (Rupees Ten Thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of learned A.C.J.M.-



II, Jhanjharpur, Madhubani in connection with Phulparas P.S.
Case No. 122 of 2015, G.R. No. 612 of 2015, subject to the
following conditions :-

(1) Petitioner shall co-operate in the trial and shall be properly represented on each and every date fixed by the Court and shall remain physically present as directed by the Court and on his absence on two consecutive dates without sufficient reason, his bail bond shall be cancelled by the Court below.

(2) If the petitioner tampers with the evidence or the witness, in that case, the prosecution will be at liberty to move for cancellation of bail.

(3) And, further condition that the court below shall verify the criminal antecedent of the petitioner and in case at any stage, it is found that the petitioner has concealed his criminal antecedents, the court below shall take step for cancellation of bail bond of the petitioner. However, the acceptance of bail bonds in terms of the above-mentioned order shall not be delayed for purpose of or in the name of verification.

Ibrar//-

(Rajesh Kumar Verma, J)

U		T	
---	--	---	--

