

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.86307 of 2025

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-47 Year-2025 Thana- NARAINPUR District- Bhojpur

Sanjeet Kumar, Son of Bhupendra Singh, Resident of village- Ahile, P.S.-
Narayanpur, Distt- Bhojpur

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s	:	Mr. Sahja Nand Sharma, Advocate
For the State	:	Mr. Awadhesh Kumar Singh, APP
For the Informant	:	Mr. Chandan Kumar Verma, Advocate

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE ASHOK KUMAR PANDEY
ORAL ORDER

3 03-02-2026 Heard learned counsel for the petitioner, learned
counsel for the informant and learned APP for the State.

2. The petitioner has prayed for bail in connection with Narayanpur P.S. Case No. 47 of 2025 registered for the offence punishable under Sections 126(2), 115(2), 109, 352, 351(2) and 3(5) of B.N.S. and Section 27 of the Arms Act.

3. The case of the prosecution, in short, is that as the petitioner took out pistol from his waist, the informant tried to flee away but he made a fire and gunshot hit in right hand near elbow. When the informant started crying, second fire was also made which hit in the buttock of one Sintu Singh.

4. Learned counsel appearing on behalf of the petitioner has submitted that petitioner is innocent and has



committed no offence. He has been falsely implicated in this case. Petitioner is the agnate of the informant and that he was not present at the time of the occurrence as he working outside Bhojpur. It has further been submitted that from perusal of the injury report it will transpire that first injury which was caused to Gajendra Kumar according to him the injury is on the forearm. The doctor has not found any fracture or anything but has simply opined that the injury is grievous. Likewise, the injury of Sintu Singh has also been opined to be grievous. Admittedly, both the injuries were caused by gunshot but as far as nature is concerned, the nature of injury which is opined by the doctor is not based on any X-ray or C.T. Scan rather it is only on the basis of his personal satisfaction and clinically it cannot be opined that any injury is simple or grievous without X-ray or C.T. Scan. Petitioner is having no criminal antecedent and he is languishing in judicial custody since 05.06.2025.

5. Learned APP appearing for the State and learned counsel for the informant have vehemently opposed the application for bail. Learned counsel for the informant has submitted that the petitioner has fired at two persons.

6. Having heard learned counsel for the parties and considering the facts and circumstances of the case, this Court is



inclined to enlarge the petitioner on bail. The above named petitioner is directed to be enlarged on bail on furnishing bail bond of Rs. 10,000/- (Rs. ten thousand only) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of the learned A.C.J.M. 1st, Bhojpur at Ara in connection with Narayanpur P.S. Case No. 47 of 2025.

(Ashok Kumar Pandey, J)

lata/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

