

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.81532 of 2025

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-113 Year-2024 Thana- MUFFASIL District- Aurangabad

Santosh Kumar Sao @ Santosh Kumar S/o- Mohan Saw Village- Bishnupur
Chhati, PS- Deo District- Aurangabad

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr.Uma Kant Mishra

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr.Mukesh Kumar Singh

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE SATYAVRAT VERMA
ORAL ORDER

2 17-02-2026 1. Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and
learned A.P.P. for the State.

2. The petitioner apprehends his arrest in a case
registered for the offences punishable under Sections 406 and
420 of the Indian Penal Code.

3. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the
offences for which the instant FIR was instituted, carries
punishment of seven years and less. It is next submitted that
petitioner had earlier moved before this Court seeking
anticipatory bail by filing Cr. Misc No. 54518 of 2024 and the
same was disposed of by an order dated 30-7-2024 with a
direction to the petitioner to file a representation before the
concerned Superintendent of Police and the Investigating
Officer of the case in terms of the order dated 13-2-2024 in Cr.



Misc No. 3536 of 2024 (Naushad Ansari vs. the State of Bihar).

4. Learned counsel for the petitioner next submits that in pursuance of the order dated 30-7-2024 in Cr. Misc No. 54518 of 2024, the petitioner was given notice under Section 41A Cr.P.C and the petitioner during the course of investigation cooperated with the police and the police never felt the need of arresting the petitioner, but then charge-sheet came to be submitted based on which cognizance came to be taken, as such petitioner apprehends arrest. It is further submitted that petitioner during the course of investigation cooperated with the police and the police never felt the need of arresting the petitioner, whether it would be prudent for the court to send the petitioner to jail based on an order of cognizance which came to be taken after the charge-sheet was submitted. It is submitted that petitioner will not abscond rather will cooperate in the trial to prove his innocence.

5. Learned A.P.P. for the State opposes the prayer for anticipatory bail of the petitioner.

6. Considering the submissions made by the learned counsel for the petitioner, the petitioner above-named, in the event of his arrest or surrender within a period of six weeks from today, be released on anticipatory bail on furnishing bail



bonds of Rs. 10,000/- (Rupees Ten Thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of the learned trial court where the case is pending/successor court in connection with Aurangabad (M) P.S. Case No. 113 of 2024, subject to the conditions as laid down under Section 482 (2) of the BNSS.

(Satyavrat Verma, J)

Sumit/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

