

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA**  
**CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.83538 of 2025**

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-142 Year-2025 Thana- Kharagpur District- Munger

Aman Kumar singh son of Kundan singh Resident Of Village- Khand Bihari  
Ps- Haveli Khadakpur District- Munger

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar
2. X Son of Y Resident Of Village- Khand Bihari, Post- Saitha, Ps- Haveli  
Khadakpur District- Munger

... .. Opposite Party/s

**Appearance :**

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Niranjan Parihar, Advocate

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Umeshanand Pandit, APP

**CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE ASHOK KUMAR PANDEY**  
**ORAL ORDER**

3      27-01-2026                      Heard Mr. Niranjan Parihar, learned counsel for the  
  
petitioner and Mr. Umeshanand Pandit, learned APP for the  
  
State.

2. The petitioner has prayed for bail in connection  
with Kharagpur P.S. Case No. 142 of 2025 registered for the  
offence punishable under Sections 96, 3(5), 137(2) of the  
B.N.S., 2023 and Section 08 of the POCSO Act.

3. The case of the prosecution in short is that the  
petitioner has kidnapped the minor daughter of the informant.

4. Learned counsel appearing on behalf of the  
petitioner has submitted that petitioner is innocent and has  
committed no offence. He has been falsely implicated in this



case. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that during the course of investigation, the victim was recovered and her statements were recorded under Sections 180 and 183 of the BNSS. In her statement recorded under Section 180 of the BNSS, she stated that the petitioner came to her house, packed her bag, and thereafter they went to Bariyarpur Station. From there, they went to Bhagalpur, then from Bhagalpur to Patna, and from Patna to Delhi. They stayed in the room of one Aman. When the landlord of Aman started making inquiries about the victim, they were apprehended. A similar statement has been given by the victim in her statement recorded under Section 183 of the BNSS.

5. Learned counsel for the petitioner further submits that the victim has denied undergoing medical examination. From a perusal of the statements of the victim recorded under Sections 180 and 183 of the BNSS, it is clear that she had gone with the petitioner of her own will. There is no allegation that she was enticed or forced to go with the petitioner. He further submits that a statement has been made in para 3 of the petition that petitioner has no criminal antecedent and he is languishing in judicial custody since 30.06.2025.

6. The application for bail is opposed by learned APP



for the State.

7. Having heard learned counsel for the parties and considering the facts and circumstances of the case, this Court is inclined to enlarge the petitioner on bail. The above named petitioner is directed to be enlarged on bail on furnishing bail bond of Rs. 10,000/- (Rs. ten thousand only) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of the learned Exclusive Special Judge (POCSO)-cum-ADJ-VI, Munger in connection with Kharagpur P.S. Case No. 142 of 2025.

**(Ashok Kumar Pandey, J)**

Sudhanshu/-

|   |  |   |  |
|---|--|---|--|
| U |  | T |  |
|---|--|---|--|

