

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.82143 of 2025

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-57 Year-2022 Thana- SURYAGARHA District- Lakhisarai

Ravi Kumar Mandal S/O Bijali Mandal R/O Village- Kamalpur, P.S-
Basopatti, Distt.- Madhubani (Bihar).

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar
2. Manju Devi W/O Rajesh Sao R/O Village- Garibnagar, P.S- Suryagarha (Manikpur), Distt.- Lakhisarai.
3. Minu Kumari W/O Ravi Kumar Mandal, D/O Rajesh Kumar Sao R/O Village- Garibnagar, P.S- Suryagarha, Distt.- Lakhisarai.

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s	:	Mr. Amrendra Kumar, Advocate
For the O.P. No. 2	:	Mr. Pradeep Narain Kumar, APP
For the State	:	Mr. Rajive Ranjan Singh, Advocate

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE SOURENDRA PANDEY
ORAL ORDER

2 15-01-2026 Heard learned counsel for the petitioner, learned
counsel for the O.P. No. 2 and learned A.P.P. for the State.

2. Petitioner apprehends his arrest in connection with
Suryagarha (Manikpura) P.S. Case No. 57 of 2022 registered for
the offences under Sections 366(A) of the I.P.C.

3. As per the prosecution case, the informant has
alleged that her daughter had left home and was having a love
affair with one person who was the holder of a particular mobile
number. It is stated that the daughter of the informant fled away
along with the said mobile number holder on 23.02.2022 and
since then she has remained traceless.



4. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner has falsely been implicated in the present case and that there is no material whatsoever to suggest that the petitioner had kidnapped the daughter of the informant. It has next been submitted that the daughter of the informant was in love with the petitioner and that they have solemnized marriage on 17.07.2023 at Ram Janaki Mandir at Nepal. The matrimonial agreement has been brought on record and marked as Annexure-P/2 and a compromise petition has also been filed before the learned trial court. It has further been submitted that the girl is major and has married the petitioner of her own free will and therefore the allegation levelled against the petitioner is false. It has lastly been submitted that the petitioner has a clean antecedent.

5. Learned counsel appearing on behalf of OP No. 2 does not dispute the factum of marriage between the informant's daughter and the petitioner and further submits that they are presently living together and that a compromise to the said effect has already been filed before the learned trial court.

6. Considering the aforesaid submission and the fact that the petitioner had married with the victim girl who happens to be a major, let the petitioner above named be released on



anticipatory bail, in the event of arrest or surrender before the learned Court below within a period of four weeks from today, on furnishing bail bonds of Rs.10,000/-(ten thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of the learned Court below where the case is pending/successor court in connection with Suryagarha (Manikpura) P.S. Case No. 57 of 2022 subject to the conditions as laid down under Section 438(2) of the Code of Criminal Procedure read with corresponding Section 482(2) of B.N.S.S. as well as subject to the following conditions:-

- (i) One of the bailors of the petitioner shall be his close relative.
- (ii) The petitioner shall remain physically present in Court on each date of the trial.
- (iii) In case of absence on two consecutive dates, or in violation of the terms of the bail, the bail bond of the petitioner will be liable to be cancelled by the Court concerned.
- (iv) If the petitioner is found involved in similar nature of offence in future, the prosecution shall be at liberty to move for cancellation of his bail bond.
- (v) The learned Court below shall verify the



criminal antecedent of the petitioner and in case at any stage it is found that the petitioner has concealed his criminal antecedent, the court below shall take step for cancellation of bail bond of the petitioner. However, the acceptance of bail bonds in terms of the above-mentioned order shall not be delayed for purpose of or in the name of verification.

7. Accordingly, the prayer for anticipatory bail is allowed.

(Sourendra Pandey, J)

aditya/-

U			
---	--	--	--

