

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.80483 of 2025

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-64 Year-2025 Thana- GALGALIYA District- Kishanganj

Jamil Ansari S/o- Bauka Ansari @ Isak Ansari R/v- Balubari Ps- Galgalia
Dist- Kishanganj

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Nafisu Zzoha, Advocate

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Umesh Lal Verma, APP

**CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE RUDRA PRAKASH
MISHRA**

ORAL ORDER

3 11-02-2026 Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and learned APP
for the State. Perused the case diary.

2. The petitioner seeks bail in connection with
Galgalia P.S. Case No. 64 of 2025 instituted for the offence
under Sections 137(2), 140(3) & 87 of the Bharatiya Nyaya
Sanhita, 2023.

3. Prosecution case, in a nutshell, is that petitioner
allegedly kidnapped the mother of the informant.

4. It has been submitted on behalf of the petitioner
that the petitioner is in custody since 17.09.2025. Petitioner
bears no criminal antecedents, as per disclosure made in
paragraph No. 3 of the bail application.

5. It has been further submitted by the petitioner's



counsel that petitioner has been falsely implicated in the present case. There is delay of two days in lodging the FIR. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that petitioner never kidnapped the informant's mother rather she left her house and went with the petitioner at her own sweet will. It is next submitted that victim is major and she willingly made consensual relationship with the petitioner, which is evident from bare perusal of the statement of the victim recorded under Section 183 of the BNSS, 2023.

6. Learned A.P.P. for the State has vehemently opposed the prayer for grant of bail to the petitioner. It is submitted that police after completion of investigation has submitted charge sheet in this case under Sections 69 & 87 of the Bharatiya Nyaya Sanhita, 2023.

7. Considering the aforesaid facts and circumstances of the case and taking into account the fact that charge sheet in this case is submitted under Sections 69 & 87 of the Bharatiya Nyaya Sanhita, 2023, this Court is not inclined to grant bail to the petitioner at this stage. Prayer for bail is hereby *rejected*.

8. The Trial Court is directed to expedite the trial as expeditiously as possible without any undue delay and unnecessary adjournments.



9. However, petitioner will be at liberty to renew his prayer for bail in the Court below, if the trial is not concluded within a period of five months from the date of receipt/production of a copy of this order.

(Rudra Prakash Mishra, J)

Raj Kishore/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

