

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.85791 of 2025

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-386 Year-2025 Thana- BASANTPUR District- Siwan

Nasruddin Sai @ Nasruddin Saai @ Hajruddin, Son of Late Hasim Resident
of Village- Khvaspur P.S.- Lakdi Nabiganj, Dist- siwan

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Ajay Kumar Pandey, Advocate

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Uday Chand Prasad, APP

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE PRABHAT KUMAR SINGH
ORAL ORDER

3 15-01-2026 Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and the
learned A.P.P. for the State.

2. The petitioner apprehend his arrest in a case
registered for the offence under Sections 137(2) and 96 of the
Bhartiya Nyaya Sanhita.

3. As per prosecution case, informant namely
Shamshad Hasami alleged that on 20.06.2025, father of the
informant went with the petitioner for work but did not return.
Later, informant got to know that a dead body was lying near
the river, on reaching there he found that his father was killed
and injury was found on his body. The informant raised
suspicion that petitioner has committed murder of his father
because the deceased father of the informant was to be



examined as witness in Sessions Trial No. 243 of 2023 and Sessions Trial No. 317 of 2021 in which named accused persons were accused.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that petitioner is innocent and has committed no offence. Petitioner is a carpenter and on a fateful day he along with the deceased went to do work of carpentry at the house of co-accused Biru Miyan. He further submits that petitioner is not an accused in Sessions Trial no. 243 of 2023 and Sessions Trial No. 317 of 2021 and as such there was no occasion or motive for this petitioner to indulge or commit such crime. He further submits that no specific role has been attributed to this petitioner in the alleged offence. During course of investigation, none of the witnesses have stated about the complicity of this petitioner in the alleged occurrence. Petitioner claims clean antecedent.

5. Learned A.P.P. for the State opposed the bail petition of the petitioner.

6. Considering the backdrop of the case and nature of accusation and other circumstance of the case, the prayer for anticipatory bail of petitioner is allowed. Let the above named petitioner, in the event of his arrest/surrender within a period of eight weeks from today, be enlarged on bail on furnishing bail-



bonds of Rs. 10,000/- (ten thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of learned A.C.J.M. 1, Siwan, in connection with Basantpur (L.N.G.) P.S. Case No. 386 of 2025, subject to condition as laid down under Section 482 of the Bhartiya Nagrik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023.

(Prabhat Kumar Singh, J)

khushbu/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

