

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA**  
**CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.80885 of 2025**

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-8 Year-2025 Thana- GHURNA District- Araria

Raj Kishor Sada, Son of Late Shukru Sada Resident of Village - Balbhadrapur,  
Police Station - Birpur, District - Supaul

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

**Appearance :**

For the Petitioner/s : Mr.Arun

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr.Ahmad Ali

**CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE SATYAVRAT VERMA**  
**ORAL ORDER**

2      08-01-2026                      1. Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and  
learned APP for the State.

2. The petitioner seeks bail in anticipation of his  
arrest in a case registered for the offences punishable under  
Sections 8, 20(b)(ii),(c) of the NDPS Act.

3. The learned counsel for the petitioner submits that  
the petitioner is a person with clean antecedent and the  
informant alleges that on 24.01.2025 at 10.30 P.M. during  
patrolling, an auto driver seeing patrolling team left the auto and  
fled and from the auto, 43 kg. of ganja was recovered.

4. The learned counsel for the petitioner submits that  
petitioner is a person with clean antecedent and he came to be  
implicated based on the fact that he is owner of the auto. It is  
further submitted that specific pleading has been made at Para-7



that petitioner, prior to the occurrence, had sold the Auto to Majharul.

5. Learned A.P.P. vehemently opposes the anticipatory bail application and submits that allegation is of recovery of 43kg of ganja from an auto. It is submitted that though a pleading has been made at Para-7 of the anticipatory bail application that petitioner sold the auto prior to the occurrence to Majharul, but then, it is submitted that no documentary evidence has been annexed with the anticipatory bail application showing that the ownership of the seized vehicle was transferred in favour of Majharul. It is also submitted that investigation of the case is continuing.

6. Considering the submissions made by the learned A.P.P., the Court is not inclined to extend the privilege of anticipatory bail to the petitioner.

7. The prayer of the petitioner for anticipatory bail stands rejected.

**(Satyavrat Verma, J)**

vikash/-

|   |  |   |  |
|---|--|---|--|
| U |  | T |  |
|---|--|---|--|

