IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.81119 of 2026

CNR No. BRHC011176462026

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-360 Year-2026 Thana- WARISLIGANJ District- Nawada

==========

Prince Kumar Son of Bullu Singh @ Bhullu Singh @ Bhuvneshwar Singh Resident of Village- Hemra, ward no. 20, Post- Mohan Eighu, P.S.- Muffasil, District- Begusarai

... ... Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar
2. XXXX Son of YYYY Resident of Village and Post- Naro Murar, Panchayat Kutari, P.S.- Warisliganj (Warsaliganj), District- Nawada

... ... Opposite Party/s

==========

Appearance :
For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Sandip Kumar Gautam, Advocate
For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Nagendra Prasad, APP

==========

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE RAJESH KUMAR VERMA

ORAL ORDER

2 25-11-2026 Heard Mr. Sandip Kumar Gautam, learned counsel for the petitioner and Mr. Nagendra Prasad, learned APP for the State.

2. Petitioner seeks bail, who is in custody since 08.07.2026, in connection with Warisliganj (Warsaliganj) P.S. Case No. 360 of 2026, F.I.R. dated 19.05.2026 registered for the offences punishable under Sections 137(2) of the B.N.S., 2023 and Section 4 of the POCSO Act.

3. Allegation against the petitioner is that he has kidnapped the daughter of the informant.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner has clean antecedent and he has been falsely implicated in the present case. In fact, the petitioner was in love with the

WEB COPY

victim girl and petitioner has not committed any offence as alleged in the F.I.R. He further submits that the date of birth of victim is 02.03.2008 which suggests that at the time of occurrence the victim was major so no case is made out under the POCSO Act. Although, the learned Trial Court has recorded the date of birth of victim is 06.11.2016 which suggests that at the time of occurrence the victim is of 09 years and 06 months old. Learned counsel for the petitioner further submits that petitioner has performed the marriage with the victim on 06.07.2026 in the temple.

5. Learned APP for the State, on the other hand, vehemently opposed the prayer for bail of the petitioner and submits that as per allegation in the F.I.R. the petitioner has kidnapped the daughter of the informant.

6. Considering the aforesaid facts and circumstances of the case, I am not inclined to enlarge the petitioner on bail in connection with Warisliganj (Warsaliganj) P.S. Case No. 360 of 2026 pending in the Court of learned District & Additional Sessions Judge-cum-Special Judge POCSO Act, Nawada.

7. Prayer is refused.

(Rajesh Kumar Verma, J)

Ibrar//-

U		T	

WEB COPY