IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.80592 of 2026

CNR No. BRHC011175362026

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-89 Year-2026 Thana- VIGILANCE District- Patna

==========

Nand Kishore Ram S/O Late Ram Jeevan Mochi R/O Vill.- Pokhwan, P.S- Shakurabad, Dist- Jehanabad

... ... Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar through vigilance Investigation Bureau, Patna Patna

... ... Opposite Party/s

==========

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Ramakant Sharma, Sr. Advocate
Mr. Santosh Kumar Pandey, Advocate
Mr. Mayank Raj, Advocate
Ms. Richa Kumari, Advocate
Ms. Kiran Kumari, Advocate
Mr. Aadarsh Parashar, Advocate

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Arvind Kumar, Spl. P.P., Vigilance
Mr. Paritosh Parimal, Advocate

==========

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE SOURENDRA PANDEY

ORAL ORDER

2 05-11-2026 Heard Mr. Ramakant Sharma, learned Senior counsel for the petitioner and the learned Spl. PP for the Vigilance.

2. The petitioner seeks bail in connection with Special Case No. 61 of 2026, arising out of Vigilance P.S. Case No. 89 of 2026 registered for the offence under Section 7(b) of the Prevention of Corruption Act, 1988.

3. Allegation against the petitioner is that he had demanded Rs. 16,000/- as bribe to issue job card and was trapped red handed accepting Rs. 12,000/-.

WEB COPY

4. The learned Senior counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner has falsely been implicated on account of village politics and, in fact, no such demand was being made as the payment for the job card had already been made and it was only with regard to some personal vendetta, the petitioner has falsely been implicated. The petitioner had not accepted any amount and in fact the same was thrust upon him. It has further been pointed out that the petitioner carries clean antecedent and is in custody since 02.08.2026.

5. Learned APP for the State has vehemently opposed the prayer for bail of the petitioner and has submitted that he was apprehended red handed accepting Rs. 12,000/- bribe from the complainant and therefore, he should not be allowed bail especially for the fact that he has also refused to give his voice sample in order to corroborate the same with the voice recording available to the Vigilance.

6. After hearing the parties and considering the submissions this Court finds that the allegation of accepting bribe of Rs. 12,000/- was on account of issuance of job card, however, *prima facie*, it seems that the amount of 10% commission for the job card had already been paid, the petitioner is directed to be released on bail on his furnishing bail

WEB COPY

bonds in the sum of Rs. 10,000/- (Ten Thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of the learned Special Judge, Vigilance, Patna in connection with Special Case No. 61 of 2026, arising out of Vigilance P.S. Case No. 89 of 2026, subject to the following conditions:

(i) One of the bailors will be a close relative of the petitioner;

(ii) the petitioner will remain present on each and every date fixed by the Court below, if so required by the learned Trial Court;

(iii) in case of absence on two consecutive dates or in violation of the terms of the bail, the bail bonds of the petitioner will liable to be cancelled by the Court concerned;

(iv) the Court below shall verify the criminal antecedent of the petitioner and in case at any stage, it is found that the petitioner has concealed his criminal antecedent, the court below shall take step for cancellation of bail bonds of the petitioner. However, the acceptance of bail bonds in terms of the above mentioned order shall not be delayed for purpose of the same on in the name of verification.

(v) the petitioner is refrained from meeting with the victim or her family members and does not try to influence them.

7. It is made clear that the observations, if any, made in this order, shall be of no bearing during the trial.

(Sourendra Pandey, J)

krishna/-

U		T	

WEB COPY