

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.84937 of 2025

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-46 Year-2025 Thana- Gehlaur District- Gaya

1. Ravindra Yadav Son of Late Sukhdev Yadav Resident of village - Dhureparsa, P.S.- Gehlaur, District - Gaya.
2. Surendra Prasad Yadav @ Surendra Yadav Son of Tilesh Yadav @ Tileshwar Yadav Resident of village - Dhureparsa, P.S.- Gehlaur, District - Gaya.
3. Ranjit Kumar Yadav @ Ranjit Yadav Son of Tilesh Yadav @ Tileshwar Yadav Resident of village - Dhureparsa, P.S.- Gehlaur, District - Gaya.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr.Vinod Kumar

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr.Abhay Kumar Roy

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE HARISH KUMAR
ORAL ORDER

2 07-01-2026 Heard the parties.

2. The petitioners apprehend their arrest in connection with Gehlaur P.S. Case No. 46 of 2025, registered for the offences punishable under Sections 126(2), 115(2), 351(2), 352, 109, 3(5) of the BNS.

3. While the informant had gone to market, in the meanwhile, he revived an information through his wife that co-accused Naulesh Kumar and Chandan Kumar assaulted his father with spade; on receipt of such information, when he rushed to the place of occurrence, he saw that these three petitioners were engaged in assaulting Urmila Devi and Ranju



Devi due to which they sustained serious injuries.

4.Learned Advocate for the petitioners submitted that besides the omnibus nature of allegation, the injuries which are allegedly sustained to Urmila Devi and Ranju Devi are concerned, the same have been found to be simple in nature. To support the aforesaid contention, the specific assertion has been made in para-7 of the bail application. It is further contended that in fact there is a counter version of the present case being Gehlaur P.S. Case No. 47 of 2025 instituted against the informant and others. In fact, on the fateful day, the parties have entered into a free fight resulted into some unfortunate injuries and the petitioners are having fair antecedent.

5. On the other hand, learned Advocate for the State vehemently opposed the bail application and submitted that the petitioners have actively participated in the crime and brutally assaulted the informant and others.

6. Having considered the submissions advanced by the learned Advocates for the respective parties and taking note of the case and counter case, coupled with the fair antecedent as well as the submission of the learned Advocate for the petitioners with respect to the simple nature of injury, let the petitioners abovenamed be released on bail, in the event of their



arrest or surrender before the court below within a period of four weeks from the date of receipt/production of a copy of this order, upon furnishing bail bonds of Rs.10,000/- (ten thousand) each with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of the learned Judicial Magistrate, 1st Class, Gaya in connection with Gehlaur P.S. Case No. 46 of 2025, subject to the conditions laid down in Section 482(2) of the Bharatiya Nagrik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023, with the further condition that one of the bailors shall be the own/close family members of the petitioners.

(Harish Kumar, J)

Anjani/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

