IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.82316 of 2026

CNR No. BRHC011175152026

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-257 Year-2026 Thana- BIHAR District- Nalanda

==========

Kaju Kumar @ Mohit Kumar S/o Fudan Yadav @ Fudan Prasad Resident of Village- Nakatpura, P.S.- Bihar, District- Nalanda

... ... Petitioner

Versus

The State of Bihar

... ... Opposite Party

==========

Appearance :
For the Petitioner : Mr. Raj Kishor Prasad, Adv.
For the Opposite Party : Mr. Raj Kishor Singh, APP

==========

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE CHANDRA SHEKHAR JHA

ORAL ORDER

2 25-11-2026 Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and learned Additional Public Prosecutor for the State.

2. The petitioner seeks bail in connection with Bihar P.S. Case No.257 of 2026 registered for the offences punishable under Sections 126(2), 115(2), 140(2), 308(4), 351(2) and 3(5) of the Bharatiya Nyaya Sanhita, 2023 (for short 'B.N.S.') and Section 67A of IT Act.

3. The petitioner is named in the FIR and is in custody since 26.05.2026.

4. As per FIR, petitioner along with other unknown co-accused persons taken away informant and others forcibly to a nearby isolated place and further assaulted them

WEB COPY

demanding Rs. 5 lakh as ransom.

5. It is submitted by learned counsel appearing for the petitioner that allegation as raised through FIR prima-facie not appears convincing that the occurrence was of kidnapping for ransom for the reason that the informant and others were released by petitioner and other co-accused themselves without any fulfillment of ransom demand. It is pointed out that in such view of the matter the allegation was raised only to aggravate the accusation. It is submitted that, in fact, the informant and petitioner are resident of same locality and out of certain local dispute and differences, they entered into free fight, out of which, the informant received minor injuries. The informant being aggrieved due to said occurrence, lodged the present FIR. While concluding argument, it is submitted that investigation of this case has already been completed, for which, charge-sheet has been submitted and, as such, there is no chance of tampering with the evidence. While explaining criminal antecedent, it is submitted that petitioner found involved in 3 more cases, where he is on bail.

WEB COPY

6. Learned APP opposed the prayer for grant of bail to the petitioner.

7. In view of aforesaid factual submissions and by taking note of the fact as FIR prima-facie suggest that informant was released by petitioner without any demand of alleged ransom coupled with the fact that investigation of this case has already been completed, wherein petitioner remains in custody since 26.05.2026, accordingly, the petitioner, above-named, is directed to be released on bail furnishing bail bond of Rs.10,000/- (Rupees Ten Thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of the learned Chief Judicial Magistrate, Nalanda at Biharsharif, in connection with Bihar P.S. Case No.257 of 2026, subject to the conditions as laid down under Section 437(3) of the Code of Criminal Procedure (for short 'CrPC')/under Section 480(3) of the Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita (for short 'BNSS').

(Chandra Shekhar Jha, J.)

Aniket/-

U		T	

WEB COPY