

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.82617 of 2025

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-7 Year-2023 Thana- MANPUR District- Nalanda

Sanjay Singh S/o Late Rambahal Singh R/o Village- Allaudiya Sarai, P.S.-
Manapur, District- Nalanda

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Anil Kumar Singh, Advocate

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Bhanu Pratap Singh, A.P.P.

**CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE RUDRA PRAKASH
MISHRA**

ORAL ORDER

3 09-01-2026 Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and learned
APP for the State.

2. The petitioner seeks bail in connection with S.T. No.
278 of 2024, arising out of Manpur P.S. Case No. 7 of 2023,
instituted for the offences under Sections 341, 447, 302, 307 and
504/34 of the Indian Penal Code and Section 27 of the Arms
Act.

3. This is the second attempt of the petitioner for bail.
The petitioner has renewed his prayer for grant of regular bail
which was earlier rejected on merit by this Court vide order
dated 11.04.2025 passed in Criminal Miscellaneous No. 2530 of
2025, taking into account the specific allegation of firing against
the petitioner.

4. In compliance of the order dated 28.11.2025, a



report dated 23.12.2025 with regard to the present stage of trial has been received. From perusal of the aforesaid report, it appears that out of nine witnesses, two witnesses have been examined. It is further reported that the trial of the case may be concluded within six months.

5. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner is languishing in judicial custody since 17.10.2023 without any rhymes or reason.

6. Learned A.P.P. for the State opposes the prayer for grant of bail.

7. There is no new ground to consider the bail petition of the petitioner. From the aforesaid report, it appears that the trial is going on and is at an advance stage.

8. In view of the above, the prayer for bail of the petitioner is again **rejected** with a direction to the court below to expedite the trial and conclude the same expeditiously preferably within a period of three months from today. If the trial is not concluded within the period of three months, as stated above, the petitioner will be at liberty to renew his prayer before the learned Court below.

(Rudra Prakash Mishra, J)

manish/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

