

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.80983 of 2025

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-208 Year-2025 Thana- MOTIPUR District- Muzaffarpur

Sanoj Kumar @ Sanoj Sah Son of Raj Kumar Sah @ Munna Sah R/o Village-
Sardha Jahangirpur, P.S.- Motipur, District- Muzaffarpur

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Ugresh Kumar, Advocate

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Pramod Kumar Pandey, APP

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE RAJESH KUMAR VERMA
ORAL ORDER

3 22-01-2026 Heard Mr. Ugresh Kumar, learned counsel for the

petitioner and Mr. Pramod Kumar Pandey, learned Additional

Public Prosecutor for the State.

2. The petitioner seeks bail, who is in custody since
01.08.2025 in connection with Motipur P.S. Case No. 208 of
2025, F.I.R. dated 23.06.2025 for the offences punishable under
Sections 85, 80, 3(5) of the B.N.S.

3. According to prosecution case, petitioner and other
co-accused persons are alleged to have killed the daughter of the
informant due to non-fulfillment of demand of dowry.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that
petitioner has clean antecedent and he has not committed any
offence as alleged in the FIR. Learned counsel further submits
that the petitioner was not present at the time of occurrence.



During investigation, in paragraph no. 21 of the case diary, it has come that deceased has committed suicide herself and the petitioner was working at Gujarat and petitioner has got knowledge about the present occurrence by his brother. The mobile tower location of the petitioner suggests that the petitioner was present at Gujarat at the time of occurrence. He further submits that the police after investigation, submitted chargesheet against the petitioner and the petitioner is in custody since 01.08.2025.

5. Learned APP for the State have vehemently opposed the prayer for bail of the petitioner.

6. Considering the aforesaid facts and circumstances and also the fact that the petitioner has clean antecedent and also the fact that the petitioner was in Gujarat at the time of occurrence, let the petitioner, above named, be released on bail on furnishing bail bond of Rs. 10,000/- (Ten Thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of the learned J.M.F.C. Court No. 8, Muzaffarpur West, District- Muzaffarpur in connection with Motipur P.S. Case No. 208 of 2025, with the following conditions:

i. Petitioner shall co-operate in the trial and shall be properly represented on each and every date fixed by the court



and shall remain physically present as directed by the court and on her absence on two consecutive dates without sufficient reason, his/her bail bond shall be cancelled by the Court below.

ii. If the petitioner tampers with the evidence or the witnesses, in that case, the prosecution will be at liberty to move for cancellation of bail.

iii. And further condition that the court below shall verify the criminal antecedent of the petitioner and in case at any stage it is found that the petitioner has concealed his criminal antecedent, the court below shall take step for cancellation of bail bond of the petitioner. However, the acceptance of bail bonds in terms of the above-mentioned order shall not be delayed for purpose of or in the name of verification.

(Rajesh Kumar Verma, J)

Ranjeet/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

