

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.83206 of 2025

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-615 Year-2025 Thana- SASARAM NAGAR District- Rohtas

Sanjiv Kumar Singh @ Sanjeev Kumar Singh Son of Lakshman Prasad Chaudhary @ Lakshman Prasad Resident of Village - Patelpuri, P.S.- Nokha, District - Rohtas.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar
2. Kumari Baby Kumari W/O- Nandlal Singh, R/O- village-Dhusiya Kala, P.S- Bikramganj, dist-Rohtas

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Amar Prakash, Advocate
For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Md. Matloob Rab, APP

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE ASHOK KUMAR PANDEY
ORAL ORDER

3 05-02-2026 Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and learned APP for the State.

2. The petitioner has prayed for bail in connection with Sasaram(T) P.S. Case No. 615 of 2025 registered for the offence punishable under Sections 318(4), 308(6), 322, 115(2), 452, 126(2), 74, 352 of the B.N.S., 2023.

3. The case of the prosecution based on the complaint petition, in short is that the husband of the complainant has registered a power of attorney in favor of the complainant and transferred his paternal property situated at Dhusiya Kala and Puranderpur P.S. Bikramganj in her favor. It is further alleged that the petitioner, in furtherance of a criminal conspiracy with



other accused persons named in the complaint petition, has forged the signature and photograph of the husband of the complainant on two sale deeds and by impersonating her husband, got those sale deeds executed.

4. Learned counsel appearing on behalf of the petitioner has submitted that petitioner is innocent and has committed no offence. He has been falsely implicated in this case. Learned counsel for the petitioner has submitted that in this case, the nature of the allegation is general and omnibus, and from perusal of para nos. 64 and 65 of the case diary, it is clear that the documents that were used by the alleged accused persons for impersonating the husband of the complainant are of her husband. Para-64 & 65 clearly show that no imposture was there and that the sale deed was executed by the husband of the complainant. He also submits that similarly situated other co-accused namely, Bablu Kumar has already been granted bail by this court vide order dated 73901 of 2025. He further submits that a statement has been made in para 3 of the petition that petitioner has no criminal antecedent and he is languishing in judicial custody since 20.09.2025.

5. The application for bail is opposed by learned APP for the State.



6. Having heard learned counsel for the parties and considering the facts and circumstances of the case, this Court is inclined to enlarge the petitioner on bail. The above named petitioner is directed to be enlarged on bail on furnishing bail bond of Rs. 10,000/- (Rs. ten thousand only) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of the learned Chief Judicial Magistrate, Rohtas at Sasaram in connection with Sasaram(T) P.S. Case No. 615 of 2025.

(Ashok Kumar Pandey, J)

Sudhanshu/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

