

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.79637 of 2025

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-555 Year-2019 Thana- HAJIPUR SADAR District- Vaishali

Arun Ray, Son of Late Yugeshwar Ray, Resident of Village-Jehanabad, P.S.-
Lalganj, Dist- Vaishali.

... .. Petitioner

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Arvind Kumar Sinha, Advocate
For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Mithlesh Kumar Khare, APP

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE CHANDRA SHEKHAR JHA
ORAL ORDER

2 10-02-2026 Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and learned
Additional Public Prosecutor for the State.

2. The accused/petitioner seeks bail in connection
with Sadar P.S. Case No.555 of 2019, corresponding to G.R.
No.7444 of 2019 registered for the offences punishable under
Section 302 of the Indian Penal Code (in short 'IPC') and
Section 27 of the Arms Act.

3. The accused/petitioner is not named in the FIR
and is in custody since 22.05.2025.

4. As per FIR, some miscreants committed murder
of son of the informant by causing firearm injury.

5. It is submitted by learned counsel appearing for
petitioner that name of this petitioner transpired during



course of investigation on the basis of confessional statement of co-accused Varun Kumar @ Varun Paswan, in furtherance of which no incriminating material appears surfaced/recovered during investigation as to connect petitioner *prima facie* with present crime in question. It is submitted that said co-accused Varun Kumar @ Varun Paswan has already granted bail by this Court through Cr. Misc. No.9594 of 2022 dated 28.11.2022. While concluding argument, it is submitted that investigation of this case is already completed, for which, charge-sheet has been submitted and, as such, there is no chance of tampering with the evidence. Explaining criminal antecedent, it is submitted that petitioner found involved in three more criminal cases, where he is on bail and in maximum of cases, the name of this petitioner transpired on the basis of confessional statement, as of present case, having no evidentiary value under the law.

6. Learned APP opposed the prayer for grant of bail to the petitioner.

7. In view of aforesaid factual submissions and by taking note of fact as save and except confessional statement



of co-accused, as mentioned aforesaid, *prima facie* nothing incriminating appears against petitioner during investigation as to connect him with present crime in question, coupled with the fact that investigation of this case is already completed, where petitioner remains in custody since 22.05.2025, accordingly, the petitioner, above-named, is directed to be released on bail furnishing bail bond of Rs.10,000/- (Rupees Ten Thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of the learned Chief Judicial Magistrate, Vaishali at Hajipur/concerned court in connection with Sadar P.S. Case No.555 of 2019 corresponding to G.R. No.7444 of 2019, subject to the conditions as laid down under Section 437(3) of the Code of Criminal Procedure (for short 'CrPC')/under Section 480(3) of the Bhartiya Nagrik Suraksha Sanhita (for short 'BNSS').

(Chandra Shekhar Jha, J.)

Sanjeet/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

