

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.79600 of 2025

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-282 Year-2024 Thana- MADHUBAN District- East Champaran

Niraj Kumar Singh Son of Late Satya Narayan Singh Resident of Village -
Banjariya, P.S.- Madhuban, District - East Champaran.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Bhavesh Kumar, Advocate

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Jitendra Kumar Singh, APP

**CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE RUDRA PRAKASH
MISHRA**

ORAL ORDER

4 06-02-2026 Heard learned counsel for the petitioner, learned
APP for the State and perused the case diary.

2. The petitioner seeks bail in connection with
Madhuban P.S. Case No. 282 of 2024, instituted for the offences
punishable under Sections 103(1), 3(5) and 61 of the Bharatiya
Nyaya Sanhita, 2023.

3. The prosecution case, in short, is that the petitioner
along with other co-accused persons have committed murder of
the deceased by way of strangulating and hanging her due to
non-fulfillment of demand of dowry.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the
petitioner is innocent and has falsely been implicated in the
present case. Charge-sheet has been submitted in this case.



Learned counsel for the petitioner also submitted that the petitioner is the husband of the deceased. The allegation levelled against the petitioner is general and omnibus in nature. The petitioner has not committed any such offence as alleged against him. It is next submitted that the deceased has committed suicide as she was short-tempered. The petitioner is in custody since 17.05.2025 and has got no criminal antecedent. Learned counsel for the petitioner further submitted that other co-accused has been granted regular bail by this Court vide order dated 23.10.2024 passed in Cr. Misc. No. 75894 of 2024.

5. Learned APP for the State has vehemently opposed the prayer for grant of bail to the petitioner and submitted that there is specific allegation that being husband of the deceased, the petitioner along with other co-accused has committed murder of informant's daughter due to non-fulfillment of demand of dowry. Further the petitioner is husband of the deceased and, therefore, onus lies upon him to explain the cause of death. It is further submitted that on perusal of paragraph no. 39 of the case diary, post-mortem report of the deceased is mentioned, where cause of death is mentioned as asphyxia due to hanging. Hence, the petitioner does not deserve the privilege of bail.



6. Considering the aforesaid facts and circumstances of the case, nature of accusation and the gravity of the offence, this Court is not inclined to grant bail to the petitioner at this stage.

7. The prayer is rejected. The trial Court is directed to expedite the Trial. However, if the trial is not concluded within a period of four months from the date of receipt/production, the petitioner will have liberty to renew his prayer for bail in the Court below.

(Rudra Prakash Mishra, J)

Rajorshi/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

