

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL APPEAL (SJ) No.4574 of 2025

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-8 Year-2014 Thana- SC/ST District- Saran

1. Ravi Prakash son of Rajendra Prasad @ Rajendra Ray Resident of Village- Marar, P.S-Parsa, Dist-Saran
2. Navin Prakash Son of Rajendra Prasad @ Rajendra ray Resident of Village- Marar, P.S-Parsa, Dist-Saran
3. Sanjay Kumar @ Sanjay Kumar Rai son of shiv Nath Rai Resident of Village- Anyay, P.S-Parsa, Dist-Saran
4. Shailendra Kumar son of Dharam Rai Resident of Village- Saraysaho, PS- Dariapur, dist- Saran
5. Chandan Tiwari son of Birendra Tiwari Resident of Village- Gorigawan, P.S- Parsa, Dist-Saran
6. Guddu Kumar son of Bharat Prasad Rai Resident of Village- Saraysaho, Ps- Dariapur, Dist-Saran
7. Jitendra Rai @ Jitendra Kumar son of Chandrika Rai Resident of Village- Digha, P.S-Parsa, Dist-Saran
8. Rakesh Kumar Rai son of subash Rai Resident of Village-Bhalwehiya, P.S- Parsa, Dist-Saran
9. Munna chaubey Son of Dev Barat Chaubey Resident of Village- Mirjapur, P.S-Parsa, Dist-Saran

... .. Appellant/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar
2. Dr. Rama Nand Ram son of Late Bachcha ram Resident of Village- Bajrang Nagar, Kashi bazar, chhapra, District- Saran

... .. Respondent/s

Appearance :

For the Appellant/s : Mr. Kumar Rakesh Chandra, Advocate
For the Respondent/s : Ms. Usha Kumari 1, Spl.P.P

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE PARTHA SARTHY
ORAL ORDER

3 23-02-2026 Heard learned counsel for the parties.

2. The instant appeal has been preferred against the order dated 23.8.2025 passed in A.B.P no.3192 of 2025 by the learned Exclusive Special Court (SC/ST Act), Chapra at Saran



and for grant of anticipatory bail in connection with SC/ST Saran P.S Case no.8 of 2014 registered under sections 147, 341, 323, 353, 427, 504 and 506 of the Indian Penal Code and section 3(i)(x) of the SC/ST (PoA) Act.

3. As per the prosecution case, the informant states that he is posted on the post of Principal in the college. On the date and time of occurrence, the nine named accused persons who are the appellants herein came and started to abuse him stating that he was distributing the scholarship as per his liking. The informant was abused in the name of his caste. The informant further states that he apprehends that he may be killed any time. As such the F.I.R.

4. Learned counsel for the appellants submits that the appellants have been falsely implicated in the case. From perusal of the contents of the FIR itself it would be evident that it was as a result of the improper manner of distribution of the scholarship grant money by the informant who happens to be the Principal that some dispute arose which has been blown out of proportion by the informant. No such occurrence as alleged in the FIR has taken place. The allegations levelled in the FIR are false and concocted. No offence under the SC/ST (PoA) Act is made out against the appellants. No independent witness nor



the members of the staff etc have supported prosecution case.
The appellants undertake to cooperate in the investigation/trial.

5. The appeal is opposed by learned Spl.P.P for the State. Referring to the order of the learned trial Court rejecting the application for bail of the appellants, it is submitted that besides submission of the chargesheet, cognizance has also been taken and as such the instant appeal for grant of anticipatory bail is not maintainable.

6. Having heard learned counsel for the parties and having perused the allegations in the FIR, for the reasons of cognizance having been taken in the learned trial Court as also in an FIR registered in February, 2014, the appellants having moved for anticipatory bail in the year 2025, the Court is not inclined to enlarge the appellants on anticipatory bail.

7. The appeal is rejected.

8. The appellants are directed to surrender in the learned Court below within a period of four weeks.

(Partha Sarthy, J)

Shiv/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

