

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.84353 of 2025

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-317 Year-2023 Thana- ROHTAS COMPLAINT CASE District-
Rohtas

=====

Sujit Kumar S/O Late Umesh Prasad @ Umesh Prasad Gupta R/O Village-
Kandi, P.S.- Kandi, Distt.- Garhwa, Jharkhand At present residing at Ward
No.- 5, Ramanujganj, P.S.- Ramanujganj, Dist.- Balrampur, Chhattisgarh

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar
2. Laxmi Devi W/O Sujit Kumar, D/O Brijendra Kumar @ Lal Mohan R/O
Vill.- Badihan, P.S.- Indrapuri, Dist.- Rohtas

... .. Opposite Party/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Deovind Kumar Singh

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Sharda Kumari

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE ANIL KUMAR SINHA
ORAL ORDER

- 5 21-04-2026
1. Heard learned counsel for the petitioner, learned Counsel
for the complainant-Opposite Party No. 2 and learned
Additional Public Prosecutor for the State.
 2. The petitioner apprehends his arrest in connection with
Complaint Case No. 317 (C) of 2023, registered for the
offences punishable under Section 498(A) of the Indian
Penal Code.
 3. The allegation, as per the complaint case, is that the
marriage of the complainant was solemnized with the



petitioner on 01.12.2020 and at the time of marriage her father gave cash worth Rs. 4 Lakhs, gold ornaments and other household articles as gifts. After the marriage, the petitioner and his family members started torturing the complainant for demand of dowry in form of a motorcycle and cash worth Rs. 2,00,000/-. Due to non-fulfillment of demand, the complainant was subjected to mental and physical harassment.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner has not committed any offence in the manner alleged and he has falsely been implicated in this case. However, without prejudice to the right and contention of the petitioner, and in order to maintain harmony in the family, the petitioner is ready and willing to pay a sum of Rs. 4,000/- per month to the Opposite Party No. 2 as living cost, subject to the final outcome of the present case as well as the matrimonial case, if any, pending and/or decided between the parties.
5. Learned counsel for the complainant-Opposite Party No. 2 submits that, being on verge of starvation, she accepts the offer so made by learned counsel for the petitioner and submits that the petitioner may be directed to deposit



the said amount of Rs. 4,000/- per month in the bank account of the complainant-Opposite Party No. 2, details of which shall be furnished by learned Counsel for the Opposite Party No. 2 to learned Counsel for the petitioner within ten days from today.

6. After having heard learned counsel for the parties and taking into consideration the materials on record and the fact that the offer made by learned Counsel for the petitioner has been accepted by learned Counsel for the Opposite Party No. 2, I am inclined to grant the petitioner privilege of anticipatory bail.
7. This application is, accordingly, allowed.
8. Let the petitioner, above named, in the event of his arrest/surrender before the learned Court below within a period of six weeks from today, be released on bail, upon furnishing bail bond of Rs. 10,000/- (Ten Thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of learned Sub Divisional Judicial Magistrate, Dehri, Rohtas, in connection with Complaint Case No. 317 (C) of 2023, subject to the condition laid down under Section 438 (2) of the Code of Criminal Procedure.
9. This is subject to the condition that the petitioner shall



deposit a sum of Rs. 4,000/- per month in the bank
account of Opposite Party No. 2, staring from 11th May,
2026.

(Anil Kumar Sinha, J)

ashwani/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

