IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.80083 of 2026

CNR No. BRHC011169252026

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-283 Year-2026 Thana- RAJIVNAGAR District- Patna

==========

Neyaz Ahmad @ Niyaz Ahmad S/O Late Shamim Ahmad @ Late Mohammed Shamim Ahmad Resident of Saguna Mor, P.S. - Danapur, District - Patna

... ... Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... ... Opposite Party/s

==========

Appearance :
For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Raj Kumar, Advocate
For the State : Mr. Murli Dhar, APP

==========

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE SOURENDRA PANDEY

ORAL ORDER

2 25-11-2026 Heard the learned counsel for the petitioner and the learned A.P.P. for the State.

2. The petitioner is apprehending his arrest in connection with Rajiv Nagar P.S. Case No. 283 of 2026 registered for the offence(s) under Section(s) 126(2), 78, 318(4), 3(5) and 351(2) of the Bharatiya Nyaya Sanhita, 2023 (B.N.S.).

3. As per the prosecution case, the informant has alleged that one boy, namely Neyaz Ahmad (petitioner), had contacted her and thereafter been continuously threatening her of dire consequences, for which earlier one Rajiv Nagar P.S. Case No. 671 of 2025 was lodged. It has further been alleged that the petitioner has posted pictures and videos of the

WEB COPY

informant on social media to pressurize her to meet and withdraw the previous case lodged against the petitioner.

4. The learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner has falsely been implicated with false and concocted story. It has further been submitted that no such occurrence as alleged has taken place, rather, there is a dispute with regard to some monetary transaction, and in fact, it is the informant who has kept the mobile phone of the petitioner and certain amount was also transferred in the bank account of the informant. However, when the petitioner started demanding return of the amount, the present false and concocted F.I.R. has been lodged. It has lastly been submitted that the petitioner has one criminal antecedent and the same is the one, which was lodged by the same informant.

5. The learned A.P.P. for the State has vehemently opposed the prayer for grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioner.

6. Considering the facts and circumstances of the case and the submissions advanced on behalf of the parties, let the petitioner, above-named, be released on anticipatory bail, in the event of his arrest or surrender before the concerned Court/successor Court within a period of four weeks from today,

WEB COPY

on his furnishing bail bond in the sum of Rs. 10,000/- (Rupees Ten Thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of the concerned Court/successor Court where the case is pending in connection with Rajiv Nagar P.S. Case No. 283 of 2026 subject to the conditions as laid down under Section 438(2) of the Code of Criminal Procedure, 1973 read with corresponding Section 482(2) of the Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023 (B.N.S.S.) as well as subject to the following conditions :

(i) One of the bailors of the petitioner shall be his close relative and the other shall be a local resident.

(ii) The petitioner shall remain physically present before the concerned Court on each and every date of the trial and shall cooperate in the investigation.

(iii) In case of absence for two consecutive occasions or in violation of the terms of the bail, the bail bond of the petitioner will be liable to be cancelled by the concerned Court.

(iv) If the petitioner, in future, is found to be involved in similar nature of offence(s), the prosecution shall be at liberty to move for cancellation of his bail bond.

(v) The concerned Court shall verify the criminal antecedent of the petitioner and in case, at any stage, it is found that he has concealed his criminal antecedent, the Court concerned shall take necessary steps for cancellation of his bail bond. However, the acceptance of bail bond in terms of the above-mentioned order shall not be delayed for purpose of or in the name of verification.

7. It is made clear that the observations, if any, made

WEB COPY

in this order, shall be of no bearing during the trial.

8. The application stands allowed.

(Sourendra Pandey, J)

sweta/-

U		T	

WEB COPY