

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Miscellaneous Jurisdiction Case No.466 of 2018

In
Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.7216 of 2017

=====

Babban Sharma S/o Late Ram Kishun Sharma, Resident of Village - Banjari,
P.S. - Rohtas, District - Rohtas.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State Of Bihar Through Mr. Sri Sudhir Kumar, The Principal Secretary,
Rural Works Department, Bihar, Patna
2. Mr. Sri Sudhir Kumar, The Principal Secretary, Rural Works Department,
Bihar.
3. Mr. Sri Rajesh Kumar. The Deputy Secretary Rural Works Department,
Bihar.
4. Mr. Sri Indu Bhushan Kumar, the Superintending Engineer, Karj Pramandal,
Motihari.
5. Mr. Sri Jakir Hussain, The Executive Engineer, Karj Pramandal, Motihari.

... .. Opposite Party/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Sanjay Kumar, Adv.
For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Archana Meenakshee, Adv.

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE RAJIV ROY
ORAL ORDER

7 30-04-2026 Heard the parties.

2. The present petition has been preferred:

*for initiating Contempt proceeding
against opposite parties/ Contemnors for willfully
and deliberately not complying with the order
dated 15-05-2017 passed in C.W.J.C. No. 7216 of
2017 whereby and where under this Court was
pleased to allowed and case of the petitioner
referred to Principal Secretary, Rural Works*



Department, Government of Bihar (Respondent No. 2) for granting him the benefit of ACP after taking into consideration the period spent by the petitioner in the parent department. Respondent No. 2 will pass appropriate order within a period of three months from the date of receipt of a copy of this order.

3. A show cause has come on behalf of the opposite party, Rural Works Department. Learned state counsel has taken this Court to the *Annexure-R/1* to show that against the said order, LPA No. 160 of 2018 (State of Bihar vs Baban Sharma) was filed which was allowed by a Division Bench on 22.03.2023 and the CWJC No. 7216 of 2017 preferred by this petitioner was dismissed.

4. In view of the development that took place subsequently, no contempt is made out.

5. Accordingly, M.J.C. No. 466 of 2018 is disposed of.

(Rajiv Roy, J)

Abhishek/-

U			
---	--	--	--

