IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.81149 of 2026

CNR No. BRHC011167192026

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-179 Year-2026 Thana- Ramgarh Chowk District- Lakhisarai

==========

Prince Raj @ Prince Kumar S/o Late Dhamendra Kumar R/o Village - Naya Bazar, Bazar Samiti, P.S. - Kabaiya, Dist. - Lakhisarai.

... ... Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... ... Opposite Party/s

==========

Appearance :
For the Petitioner/s : Mr.Mayank Bilochan, Advocate
For the Opposite Party/s : Mr.Akshay Lal Pandit, APP

==========

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE RAJESH KUMAR VERMA

ORAL ORDER

2 25-11-2026 Heard Mr.Mayank Bilochan, learned counsel for the petitioner and Mr.Akshay Lal Pandit, learned A.P.P. for the State.

2. The petitioner seeks bail, who is in custody since 08.09.2026 in connection with Ramgarh Chowk P.S. Case No. 179 of 2026, F.I.R. dated 07.09.2026 registered for the offence punishable under Sections Sections 143(2),143(3) of BNS, 2023 and Sections 3,4,5,6 of the Immoral Traffic (Prevention) Act, 1956.

3. As per the first information report, the prosecution story, in brief, is that on 07.09.2026, the informant received information that four boys and one girl have entered into a house and have closed the doors. The owner of the house was

WEB COPY

informed by the local persons but the door of the house was not being opened. When the police forcefully opened the door then saw the four boys and one girl in questionable state. On inquiry, they disclosed their names. It was also disclosed that they have brought the girl for establishing physical relationship in lieu of money. 15,00/- rupees was already paid online and rest 15,00/- rupees each was to be paid afterwards. On search, several objectionable articles were recovered from the place. It was also disclosed by the girl that the owner of the house first established physical relationship with her and she is in the need of money as she has left her husband because of his abusive behaviour and bad nature. For establishing physical relationship the owner of the house for the first time brought her to the said house and thereafter he used to send customers to her.

4. Learned counsel appearing for the petitioner submits that the petitioner has clean antecedent. It appears from the FIR itself that the petitioner was not present at the place of occurrence rather on call made by the prosecution he come at the place of occurrence and petitioner has been made accused in the present case merely on the ground that he is owner of the house in question, apart from that, there is no specific allegation against the petitioner in the FIR. The petitioner is in custody

WEB COPY

since 08.09.2026.

5. Learned A.P.P. for the State, on the other hand, has vehemently opposed the prayer for bail of the petitioner.

6. Considering the aforesaid facts, petitioner has clean antecedent, it appears from the FIR itself that the petitioner was not present at the place of occurrence and he has been made accused in the present case merely on the ground that he is owner of the house in question, let the petitioner, above named, be released on bail on furnishing bail bond of Rs.10,000/- (Ten Thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of learned Judicial Magistrate, 1st Class, Lakhisarai in connection with Ramgarh Chowk P.S. Case No. 179 of 2026, with the following conditions:-

(I) Petitioner shall co-operate in the trial and shall be properly represented on each and every date fixed by the Court and shall remain physically present as directed by the Court and on his/her absence on two consecutive dates without sufficient reason, his/her bail bond shall be cancelled by the Court below.

(II) If the petitioner tampers with the evidence or the witnesses, in that case, the prosecution will be at liberty to move for cancellation of bail.

(III) And, further condition that the court below shall

WEB COPY

verify the criminal antecedent of the petitioner and in case at any stage, it is found that the petitioner has concealed his criminal antecedent, the court below shall take step for cancellation of bail bond of the petitioner. However, the acceptance of bail bonds in terms of the above-mentioned order shall not be delayed for purpose of or in the name of verification.

(Rajesh Kumar Verma, J)

Nitesh/-

U		T	

WEB COPY