

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Miscellaneous Jurisdiction Case No.4125 of 2024
In
Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.6618 of 2024

-
1. Sona Lal Yadav Son of Chhavi Lal Yadav, Resident of Village Ward No. 03, Simalbari, P.S. Kishanganj, District Kishanganj.
 2. Neena Das, Daughter of Ashok Das, resident of Village Kachhari Para, Thakurganj, P.S. Thakurganj, District Kishanganj.
 3. Mini Kumari Sarkar, Wife of Ranojit Halder, Daughter of Anil Kumar Sarkar, resident of Pothia Bazar, P.S. Pothia, District Kishanganj.
 4. Ravi Lal Marandi, son of Naren Marandi, Resident of Mirjapur Belgachhi Sarogora Poth R.S. Pothia, P.S. Pothia, District Kishanganj.
 5. Binod Kumar Bosak son of Gopal Prasad Bosak, Resident of Tanti Basti Choupal Tola, Ward No. 06, P.S. Kishanganj, District Kishanganj.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar through Sri Dr. B. Rajender, the Principal Secretary, General Administration Department, Government of Bihar, Patna.
2. Sri Dr. B. Rajender, the Principal Secretary, General Administration Department, Government of Bihar, Patna.
3. Sri Vishal Raj, the District Magistrate, District Kishanganj.
4. Sri Amrendra Kumar Pankaj, the Additional Collector, Establishment, District Kishanganj.
5. Smt. Sunita Kumari, the Deputy Collector, Establishment, District Kishanganj
6. Sri Dr. Rajesh Kumar, the Civil Surgeon cum Chief Medical Officer, Kishanganj, District Kishanganj.

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr.Nihal Beg, Advocate
For the Opposite Party/s : Mr.Birju Prasad, GP-13
Mr. S. Prasad, AC to GP-13

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE MOHIT KUMAR SHAH
ORAL ORDER

3 31-07-2026 The Ld. Counsel for the opposite parties submits that in compliance of the order of this Court dated 26.04.2024 passed in CWJC No. 6618 of 2024, the District Magistrate, Kishanganj



as also the Civil Surgeon-cum-Chief Medical Officer, Kishanganj have passed reasoned orders dated 21.06.2024 and 13.12.2024 respectively, rejecting the case of the petitioners, hence the order of this Court has stood complied with.

2. The Ld. Counsel for the petitioners seeks liberty on behalf of the petitioners to challenge the aforesaid orders, to the extent they are against the petitioners, by availing such remedies as are otherwise available under the law. Liberty, so sought, is granted.

3. The petition stands disposed of.

(Mohit Kumar Shah, J)

S.Sb/-

U			
---	--	--	--

