

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.80509 of 2025

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-156 Year-2025 Thana- Kalibagh District- West Champaran

Md. Meraj Alam @ Md. Meraj @ Meraj S/o Late Wakil Miyan R/o vill -
Purani Gudari, ward no. 9, P.S. - Kalibagh, Distt.- West Champaran

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s	:	Mr. Gyan Prakash, Advocate
For the State	:	Md. Fahimuddin, APP

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE JITENDRA KUMAR
ORAL ORDER

3 21-01-2026 Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and learned
APP for the State.

2. The petitioner seeks bail in connection with Kalibagh P.S. Case No. 156 of 2025 dated 14.06.2025, registered for the offences punishable under Sections 103(1) and 3(5) of B.N.S., 2023.

3. As per allegation, the husband of the informant lady has been seriously injured by a sharp edged weapon and after he being taken to the hospital, he was declared dead. The informant wife has expressed suspicion about the involvement of the friend of her son, who has association with criminal friends.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the



petitioner is innocent and has falsely been implicated in this case. He further submits that FIR has been lodged against unknown persons and even during the course of investigation, no incriminating material has been recovered from him. The incriminating material has been recovered from co-accused, Salim Akhtar and Sheikh Shamsad and only material against the petitioner is the so-called confessional statement of co-accused to the police, which has no evidentiary value. He further submits that the investigation is already completed and not only charge-sheet has been submitted in this case, but even charge has been framed against the accused persons.

5. He further submits that the petitioner has been languishing in jail since 17.06.2025.

6. It has also been stated in paragraph no. 3 of the bail petition that the petitioner has earlier been made accused in two other cases.

7. It is also stated in paragraph no. 2 of the bail petition that the petitioner has not moved this Court earlier either for anticipatory bail or regular one.

8. However, learned APP for the State vehemently opposes the prayer of the petitioner for bail.

9. Considering the aforesaid facts and circumstances,



this application is allowed, directing the petitioner, above-named, to be enlarged on bail on his furnishing bail bonds in the sum of Rs. 10,000 /- (Ten Thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of learned concerned Court below in connection with Kalibagh P.S. Case No. 156 of 2025 on the following conditions:

(i) The petitioner will make himself available for interrogation by a police officer/court as and when required.

(ii) The petitioner will undertake that investigation/trial will not get hampered on account of his absence or non-cooperation. He must be available to the police or the court whenever his presence is required.

(iii) The petitioner shall not, directly or indirectly make any inducement, threat or promise to any person acquainted with the facts of the case so as to dissuade him from disclosing such facts to the court or to any police officer.

(iv) In case, it is brought to the notice of the court below that the petitioner has criminal antecedents other than the disclosed one, learned court below shall cancel the bail bonds of the petitioner after hearing him and getting satisfied that the petitioner has concealed his criminal antecedents despite his knowledge of the same.



(v) In case, it is brought to the notice of the court below that statement regarding previous bail petition is wrong, learned court below shall cancel the bail bonds of the petitioner.

(Jitendra Kumar, J)

shoaib/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

