

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.81115 of 2025

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-376 Year-2025 Thana- BARH District- Patna

Roshan Kewat Son of Umesh Kewat Resident of Village - Gowar Dharampur,
Police Station - Barh, District - Patna.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Tej Narayan Singh, Advocate

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Syed Ehteshamuddin, APP

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE RAJESH KUMAR VERMA
ORAL ORDER

3 27-01-2026 Heard Mr. Tej Narayan Singh, learned counsel for
the petitioner and Mr. Syed Ehteshamuddin, learned APP for the
State.

2. Petitioner seeks bail, who is in custody since
09.09.2025, in connection with Barh P.S. Case No. 376 of 2025,
F.I.R. dated 23.06.2025 registered for the offences punishable
under Sections 126(2), 115(2), 303(2), 118, 109, 3(5) of the
B.N.S.

3. Allegation against the petitioner is that he has fired
upon the victim causing injury to his stomach.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the
petitioner has clean antecedent and he has been falsely
implicated in the present case. It appears from the F.I.R. that
the F.I.R. is in two parts, in first part, there is general and



omnibus allegation against the petitioners including the petitioner and in second part, there is specific allegation that he has fired upon the victim. Learned counsel for the petitioner further submits that the allegation as alleged in the F.I.R. is false and fabricated and the petitioner has not committed any offence as alleged in the F.I.R. and the injury report of the injured person does not support the allegation as alleged in the F.I.R. He further submits that the police after investigation submitted chargesheet against the petitioner and the petitioner is in custody since 09.09.2025.

5. The learned Additional Public Prosecutor for the State has vehemently opposed the prayer for bail of the petitioner.

6. Considering the facts and circumstances of the case and the fact that the petitioner having clean antecedent and the injury inflicted upon the injured person does not support the allegation as alleged in the F.I.R., let the petitioner, above named, be released on bail on furnishing bail bond of Rs. 10,000/- (Rupees Ten Thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of learned A.C.J.M., Barh, Patna in connection with Barh P.S. Case No. 376 of 2025, subject to the following conditions :-



(1) Petitioner shall co-operate in the trial and shall be properly represented on each and every date fixed by the Court and shall remain physically present as directed by the Court and on his absence on two consecutive dates without sufficient reason, his bail bond shall be cancelled by the Court below.

(2) If the petitioner tampers with the evidence or the witness, in that case, the prosecution will be at liberty to move for cancellation of bail.

(3) And, further condition that the court below shall verify the criminal antecedent of the petitioner and in case at any stage, it is found that the petitioner has concealed his criminal antecedents, the court below shall take step for cancellation of bail bond of the petitioner. However, the acceptance of bail bonds in terms of the above-mentioned order shall not be delayed for purpose of or in the name of verification.

(Rajesh Kumar Verma, J)

Ibrar//-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

