

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.81055 of 2025

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-211 Year-2025 Thana- MIRGANJ District- Gopalganj

=====

Guddu Kumar Verma S/o- Binod Verma @ Vinod Sah R/v- Saveraji Ps-
Mirganj Dist- Gopalganj

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Deepankar Raj, Advocate

For the State : Mr. Ram Priya Sharan Singh, A.P.P

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE JUSTICE SMT. SONI SHRIVASTAVA
ORAL ORDER

4 25-02-2026 Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and learned APP
for the State.

2. The petitioner seeks bail in a case registered for the
offence punishable under Sections 115 (2), 121 (2), 109, 132, 3 (5) of
B.N.S. 2023 and Sections 25 (1-B)(a), 26(2), 27 and 35 of Arms Act,
2025.

3. As per the prosecution case, three miscreants started
firing upon the police team while trying to flee away from the place
of occurrence. Two co-accused persons were apprehended on the spot
and they disclosed the name of the petitioner who fled away from the
place of occurrence.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the
name of the petitioner appeared in the First Information Report upon
same being disclosed by the two apprehended persons Ayush Kumar
and Rohit Kumar. However, the petitioner was not apprehended on
the spot and the recovery of country made pistol etc. was made from



the apprehended accused persons. It is further submitted that, subsequently, during the course of investigation, the petitioner was arrested and *daab* was recovered from his possession and further no independent witness has been examined during the course of investigation. Petitioner is in custody since 26.06.2025 and there has been no progress in the case.

5. Learned APP for the State opposed the bail petition.

6. A report had been called for with regard to the stage of trial which indicates that after submissions of charge sheet cognizance could not be taken in absence of prosecution sanction for which specific directions have given to the prosecution officer.

7. Taking into consideration the facts and circumstances of the case and also considering the fact that the petitioner was not arrested on the spot and charge sheet has already been submitted and the delay being caused is not due to any fault of the petitioner, the above named petitioner is directed to be enlarged on bail on furnishing bail bond of Rs.10,000/- (Rupees Ten Thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of the learned Court below where the case is pending/successor Court in connection with Mirganj P.S. Case No. 211 of 2025.

(Soni Shrivastava, J)

vashudha/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

