

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.79539 of 2025

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-66 Year-2025 Thana- HARSIDHI District- East Champaran

1. Raviranjana Kumar S/O Shatrudhan Yadav R/O Village- Sataha, P.S- Paharpur, Dist.- East Champaran.
2. Rakesh Kumar S/O Shatrudhan Yadav R/O Village- Sataha, P.S- Paharpur, Dist.- East Champaran.
3. Dinanath Yadav S/O Late Kapildev Yadav R/O Village- Sataha, P.S- Paharpur, Dist.- East Champaran.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Abhishek Kumar, Advocate

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Anant Kumar 1, APP

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE SUNIL DUTTA MISHRA
ORAL ORDER

2 07-01-2026 Heard learned counsel for the petitioners and learned
A.P.P. for the State.

2. The petitioners apprehend their arrest in connection with Harsiddhi P.S. Case No. 66 of 2025, instituted under Sections 115(2), 119, 223, 303(2), 329(3), 351, 352, 3(5), 119(1) of the B.N.S.

3. As per the prosecution case, on 16.01.2025, petitioners along with F.I.R. named accused persons forcibly occupied the land of the informant and were cutting the sugarcane. Then the police of Harsiddhi Police Station came and stopped them, but they did not listen upon which the police submitted a report to the Sub-Divisional Officer, Areraj. The



Sub-Divisional Officer, Areraj imposed a complete ban on going to the said land. In spite of aforesaid order, the accused persons again started cutting sugarcane on 05.02.2025. When the informant went to enquire, the accused persons assaulted the informant causing injury.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that petitioners are innocent and have falsely been implicated in this case due to land dispute. Allegation against the petitioners are general in nature. Injury report of the injured shows that the injury is not grievous in nature. Petitioners have one criminal antecedent in which they are on bail. They undertake to co-operate in the investigation and trial.

5. Learned A.P.P. for the State opposes the prayer for anticipatory bail.

6. Considering the facts and circumstances of the case and submission of learned counsel for the parties, in the event of arrest or surrender before the Court below within six weeks from today, the petitioners be released on bail upon furnishing bail bonds of Rs. 10,000/- (ten thousand) each with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of learned Judicial Magistrate F.C., Motihari, East Champaran in connection with Harsiddhi P.S. Case No. 66 of 2025, subject to



the conditions laid down in Section 482 (2) of the Bharatiya
Nagrik Suraksha Sanhita (B.N.S.S.), 2023.

(Sunil Dutta Mishra, J.)

rakhi/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

