

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.79151 of 2025

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-152 Year-2025 Thana- RAMGARH District- Lakhisarai

Gulab Yadav S/O Late Nago Yadav @ Nageshwar Yadav R/O Village-
Pachena, P.S and Distt.- Lakhisarai.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr.Parmanand Pd. Nr. Sahi

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr.Manoj Kumar

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE SATYAVRAT VERMA
ORAL ORDER

2 06-01-2026 1. Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and learned
APP for the State.

2. The petitioner seeks bail in anticipation of his arrest
in a case registered for the offences punishable under Sections
310(4), 310(5), 132 of the B.N.S. and Sections 25(1-B)a, 26, 27
and 35 of the Arms Act.

3. The learned counsel for the petitioner submits that
the petitioner has antecedent of two cases and the informant
alleges that he received a secret information that accused persons
including the petitioner have assembled at village- Bihorara with
firearm with an intent to commit dacoity. Accordingly, the force
reached the place of occurrence when accused persons started
fleeing on seeing the police, but Chandan, Krishn Mohan and
Vinay were arrested and from possession of Chandan, two empty
cartridges were recovered apart from other articles. Further, 13
cartridges were also recovered from the Machan where the



accused were sitting and the apprehended accused disclosed that petitioner had fled from the place of occurrence.

4. The learned counsel for the petitioner submits that petitioner has been falsely implicated in the instant case by the informant based on confessional statement of Chandan in police custody, which does not have any evidentiary value. It is also submitted that petitioner was not even present at the place of occurrence, but since he carries two antecedent, as such, he came to be implicated by the police. It is further submitted that Chandan Kumar, Binay Yadav and Krishna Mohan Kumar had approached this Court seeking regular bail by filing Cr. Misc. No.78291 of 2025, Cr. Misc. No.83058 of 2025 and Cr. Misc. No.87904 of 2025 and the same came to be allowed by an order dated 03.12.2025, 10.12.2025 and 22.12.2025 respectively passed by the learned Coordinate Bench. It is thus submitted that persons in whose confession the name of the petitioner transpired have been released on regular bail, as such, no useful purpose would be served by sending the petitioner to jail. It is also submitted that petitioner will not abscond rather will cooperate in the investigation to prove his innocence.

5. Learned A.P.P. opposes the anticipatory bail application.

6. Considering the submissions made by the learned



counsel for the petitioner, the petitioner, above-named, in the event of his arrest or surrender before the learned Court below within a period of six weeks, is directed to be released on bail on his furnishing bail-bonds in the sum of Rs. 50,000/- (Rupees Fifty Thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of the learned Trial Court where the case is pending/successor Court in connection with Ramgarh Chowk P. S. Case No.152 of 2025, subject to the conditions laid down under Section 482(2) of the B.N.S.S.

7. The application stands allowed.

8. However, it is made clear that in the event, if any application is filed by the Investigating Officer before the learned trial Court bringing to its notice that petitioner, despite giving assurance to this Court, is not cooperating in the investigation or is not presenting himself as and when required, the learned trial Court shall be at liberty to cancel the bail bonds of the petitioner after recording reasons.

9. Let a copy of this order be sent to the concerned Police Station through the learned trial Court.

(Satyavrat Verma, J)

vikash/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

