

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.18285 of 2023

=====

Jagatpati Choudhary Son of Late Manmohan Choudhary Retired Regional Deputy Director of Education (R.D.D.E.), Koshi Division, Saharsa, Resident of Village-Mahishi, P.S.-Mahishi, District-Saharsa.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar through the Additional Chief Secretary, Department of Education, Government Of Bihar, Patna.
2. The Additional Chief Secretary, Department of Education, Government of Bihar, Patna.
3. The Director (Administration)-Cum-Additional Secretary, Department of Education, Government of Bihar, Patna.
4. The Director, Secondary Education, Department of Education, Government of Bihar, Patna.
5. The Incharge Officer, Department of Finance (PCFC), Government of Bihar, Patna.
6. The Director, Provident Fund, Government of Bihar, Patna.
7. The Regional Deputy Director of Education, Koshi Division, Saharsa.
8. The District Provident Fund Officer, Saharsa.
9. The Accountant General (A and E) Bihar, Patna.

... .. Respondent/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s	:	Mr. Pramod Mishra, Advocate
For the A.G.	:	Mr. Binod Kumar Labh, Advocate
		Mr. Sunil Kumar Singh, Advocate
For the State	:	AC to Standing Counsel 11

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE PURNENDU SINGH
ORAL ORDER

7 20-07-2026 Heard learned counsel appearing on behalf of the petitioner; learned counsel for the State and learned counsel for the Accountant General, Bihar.

2. A counter affidavit has been filed on behalf of the respondents no. 2 and 3, which reveals that the Disciplinary Authority has taken action in light of the provision of Rule 43



(D) of the Bihar Pension Rules, which came into effect from 21.01.2019. Further information in respect of payment of retiral dues is contained in paragraphs no. 14 and 15 of the counter affidavit.

3. In light of the above information and in view of the fact that already action has been taken in accordance with Rule 43 (D) of the Bihar Pension Rules, I find that in case the petitioner is aggrieved, he may file a detailed representation before the appropriate authority, who is directed to dispose of the representation of the petitioner expeditiously, in accordance with law.

4. Accordingly, the present writ petition is disposed of.

(Purnendu Singh, J)

Niraj/-

U			
---	--	--	--

