

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA**  
**CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.81322 of 2025**

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-251 Year-2025 Thana- BELHAR District- Banka

=====

Dharmendra Das S/o Divakar Das Resident of Village- Bisanpur, (Das Tola),  
P.S.- Belhar, District- Banka

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

=====

**Appearance :**

|                          |   |                                                                  |
|--------------------------|---|------------------------------------------------------------------|
| For the Petitioner/s     | : | Mr. Subodh Kumar Jha, Advocate<br>Mr. Pranav Kumar Jha, Advocate |
| For the Opposite Party/s | : | Mr. Nagendra Prasad, APP                                         |
| For the Informant        | : | Mr. Ranjan Kumar Jha, Advocate                                   |

=====

**CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE RAJESH KUMAR VERMA**  
**ORAL ORDER**

3      31-01-2026                      Heard Mr. Subodh Kumar Jha, learned counsel for the petitioner, Mr. Ranjan Kumar Jha, learned counsel for the informant and Mr. Nagendra Prasad, learned Additional Public Prosecutor for the State.

2. Petitioner seeks bail who is in custody since 30.08.2025 in connection with Belhar P.S. Case No. 251 of 2025, F.I.R. dated 29.08.2025 for the offences punishable under Section 103(1) of the BNS, 2023.

3. According to prosecution case, the husband of the informant was found dead in Prakash Yadav's field.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that petitioner has clean antecedent and he has falsely been implicated in the present case. The petitioner is not named in the



F.I.R and his name has been transpired during investigation on the basis of self confessional statement of the petitioner and confessional statement of co-accused Sarvesh Kumar. He further submits that on the basis of disclosure made by the petitioner and other accused person, iron bracelet chain has been recovered. He further submits that although recovery has been made but the said recovery has been made on the basis of suspicion.

5. Learned counsel for the informant as well as learned Additional Public Prosecutor have vehemently opposed the prayer for bail of the petitioner and submits that both co-accused persons have confessed their guilt and they have disclosed the manner of occurrence in their confessional statement and apart from that the confessional statement leads to recovery.

6. Considering the aforesaid facts and circumstances, I am not inclined to enlarge the petitioner on bail in connection with Belhar P.S. Case No. 251 of 2025 pending in the court of learned CJM, Banka.

7. Prayer is refused.

**(Rajesh Kumar Verma, J)**

Vanisha/-

|   |  |   |  |
|---|--|---|--|
| U |  | T |  |
|---|--|---|--|

