IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.80892 of 2026

CNR No. BRHC011158382026

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-1036 Year-2020 Thana- SARAN COMPLAINT CASE District-Saran

==========

Chandrma Ram S/O Ram Pravesh Ram R/o Village - Fulwariya , P.S-Raghunathpur, District- Siwan. ... ... Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar
2. Rakesh Kumar Ram S/O Dasai Ram R/O Village- Nautan, P.S.- Ekma, Dist.-Chhapra (Saran).

... ... Opposite Party/s

==========

Appearance :
For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Priyam Raj, Adv.
For the Opposite Party/s : Ms. Anita Kumari Singh, APP

==========

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE SHAILENDRA SINGH

ORAL ORDER

2 06-11-2026 Heard the parties.

2. This application has been filed by the petitioner seeking the relief of anticipatory bail in connection with Complaint Case No. 1036 of 2020 instituted for the offences punishable under Sections 420, 323, 341 and 504 of the Indian Penal Code and Section 138 of the Negotiable Instruments Act. The petitioner's earlier identical prayer has already been rejected on merit by this Bench vide order dated 24.02.2026 passed in Cr. Misc. No. 8901 of 2026.

3. Learned counsel appearing for the petitioner submits that the alleged amount of Rs. 9,00,000/- (Rupees Nine Lakh) was given to the petitioner by the complainant as a friendly loan and the petitioner bears no criminal antecedent and the alleged

WEB COPY

offences under which cognizance has been taken are not made out in the present case. Learned counsel further submits that the rejection of the petitioner’s prayer for anticipatory bail will not serve the purpose of the petitioner.

4. Learned APP appearing for the State, while opposing the prayer of the petitioner, submits that the petitioner's earlier identical prayer for anticipatory bail has already been rejected by this Bench and the petitioner has not revealed any convincing fresh ground in the instant petition to entertain his second prayer.

5. Heard both the sides and perused the relevant materials.

6. Considering the seriousness of the allegation levelled against the petitioner by the complainant pertaining to the offence of cheating allegedly committed by the petitioner with the complainant, who happens to be his relative, on the pretext of providing him a government job in the Bihar Legislative Assembly and providing him a fake appointment letter, and also taking into account the two cheques issued by the petitioner in favour of the complainant, which were dishonoured by the concerned bank, this Court has already rejected the petitioner's prayer for anticipatory bail on merit vide order dated 24.02.2026 passed in Cr. Misc. No. 8901 of 2026.

WEB COPY

The petitioner has not been able to show any fresh circumstance/ground entitling him to the relief of anticipatory bail. Accordingly, his prayer stands rejected again.

(Shailendra Singh, J)

annu/-

U		T	

WEB COPY