IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.81143 of 2026

CNR No. BRHC011157222026

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-297 Year-2026 Thana- SINGHESHWAR District- Madhepura

==========

Deepak Kumar S/O Pramod Kumar @ Pramod Kumar Ram R/O Village-Durga Chowk, Singheshwar, Ward No. 01, P.S- Singheshwar, District-Madhepura.

... ... Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... ... Opposite Party/s

==========

Appearance :
For the Petitioner/s : Mr.Ashok Kumar Singh, Advocate
For the Opposite Party/s : Mrs.Renu Kumari, APP

==========

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE RAJESH KUMAR VERMA

ORAL ORDER

2 25-11-2026 Heard Mr.Ashok Kumar Singh, learned counsel for the petitioner and Mrs.Renu Kumari, learned A.P.P. for the State.

2. The petitioner seeks bail, who is in custody since 21.07.2026 in connection with Singheshwar P.S. Case No. 297 of 2026, F.I.R. dated 20.07.2026 registered for the offence punishable under Sections Sections 8(C), 21(b) of N.D.P.S.Act.

3. Recovery is of 11 Gms of Smack.

4. Learned counsel appearing for the petitioner submits that the petitioner has clean antecedent. He has falsely been implicated in the present case. He further submits that it appears from the FIR that altogether 11 Gms of Smack has been

WEB COPY

recovered from the boundary wall of Ramjanki Thakurbari and police has arrested six persons including the petitioner. Further submits that it appears from the seizure list that no incriminating article has been recovered from possession of the petitioner, only one Samsung Mobile Phone was recovered from possession of the petitioner. The police, after investigation, submitted chargesheet against the petitioner and the petitioner is in custody since 21.07.2026.

5. Learned A.P.P. for the State, on the other hand, has vehemently opposed the prayer for bail of the petitioner.

6. Considering the aforesaid facts, petitioner has clean antecedent, recovery has been made from the back side of the boundary wall of Ramjanki Thakurbari and one Samsung Mobile Phone was recovered from possession of the petitioner and petitioner has been made accused in the present case merely on the basis of suspicion, let the petitioner, above named, be released on bail on furnishing bail bond of Rs.10,000/- (Ten Thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of learned Sessions Judge-cum-Special Judge, NDPS Act, Madhepura in connection with Singheshwar P.S. Case No. 297 of 2026, with the following conditions:-

(I) Petitioner shall co-operate in the trial and shall be

WEB COPY

properly represented on each and every date fixed by the Court and shall remain physically present as directed by the Court and on his/her absence on two consecutive dates without sufficient reason, his/her bail bond shall be cancelled by the Court below.

(II) If the petitioner tampers with the evidence or the witnesses, in that case, the prosecution will be at liberty to move for cancellation of bail.

(III) And, further condition that the court below shall verify the criminal antecedent of the petitioner and in case at any stage, it is found that the petitioner has concealed his criminal antecedent, the court below shall take step for cancellation of bail bond of the petitioner. However, the acceptance of bail bonds in terms of the above-mentioned order shall not be delayed for purpose of or in the name of verification.

(Rajesh Kumar Verma, J)

Nitesh/-

U		T	

WEB COPY