IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.80665 of 2026

CNR No. BRHC011156042026

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-1116 Year-2026 Thana- Cyber P.S. District- Patna

==========

Sonu Kumar S/o Ranjan Singh R/o Mohalla - Indralok Nagar, Loha Factory Near Dental Hospital, P.S - By Pass, District - Patna At present Address - R/o Village - Lodipur, P.S - Shekhpura, District - Shekhpura

... ... Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... ... Opposite Party/s

==========

Appearance :
For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Shivam Kumar, Advocate
For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Satyendra Prasad, APP

==========

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE SOURENDRA PANDEY

ORAL ORDER

2 05-11-2026 Heard the learned counsel for the petitioner and learned APP for the State.

2. Petitioner, who is in custody, seeks bail in connection with Patna Cyber P.S. Case No. 1116 of 2026 registered for the offences punishable under Sections 318(4), 319(2), 336(2), 336(3), 340(2), 61(2), 112(2), 338 and 3(5) of the B.N.S and under Section 66(c) and 66(d) of the I.T. Act.

3. The prosecution case is to the effect that the police conducted a raid and apprehended three persons including the petitioner and from the house of one Dheeraj Kumar, co-accused a total of eight mobile phone, one keypad mobile, one laptop and eight ATM cards were recovered. It has further been alleged

WEB COPY

that the apprehended person Dheeraj Kumar accepted that he along with his wife and the petitioner used to dupe people in the name of providing loan.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner is falsely implicated in this case and he has no concern with the co-accused, Dheeraj Kumar. It has been submitted that admittedly, the room from where the recovery was made belongs to Dheeraj Kumar with whom the petitioner does not have relationship and he has not been involved in duping any person nor any incriminating article has been recovered from his conscious possession. It has lastly been submitted that the petitioner has clean antecedent and he is in custody since 15.08.2026.

5. The learned A.P.P. for the State has vehemently opposed the prayer for bail.

6. Considering the aforesaid submission, facts and circumstances of the case, let the petitioner, above named, be released on bail on furnishing bail bond of Rs. 10,000/- (Ten thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of the learned court below where the case is pending/successor court in connection with Patna Cyber P.S. Case No. 1116 of 2026 subject to the following conditions:-

WEB COPY

(i) One of the bailors of the petitioner shall be his close relative and the other shall be local resident.

(ii) The petitioner shall remain physically present in Court on each date of the trial.

(iii) In case of absence on two consecutive dates or in violation of the terms of the bail, the bail bond of the petitioner will be liable to be cancelled by the court concerned.

(iv) If the petitioner is found involved in similar nature of offence in future, the prosecution shall be at liberty to move for cancellation of his bail bond.

(v) The learned Court below shall verify the criminal antecedent of the petitioner and in case at any stage it is found that the petitioner has concealed his criminal antecedent, the court below shall take step for cancellation of bail bond of the petitioner. However, the acceptance of bail bonds in terms of the above-mentioned order shall not be delayed for purpose of or in the name of verification.

7. Accordingly, the prayer for bail is allowed.

8. It is made clear that the observation, if any, made in this order, shall be of no bearing during the trial.

(Sourendra Pandey, J)

aditya/-

U		T	

WEB COPY