

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.84954 of 2025

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-201 Year-2024 Thana- KHAIRA District- Saran

Manu Rai @ Manu Kumar Rai @ Monu Rai Son of Raju Rai @ Raju Kumar
R/o Village - Chhota Telpa, Gorla Toli, P.S. - Chapra Town, Dist. - Saran at
Chapra.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Maheshwar Prasad
For the Opposite Party/s : Ms. Madhuri Lata

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE SANDEEP KUMAR
ORAL ORDER

2 31-01-2026 Heard the learned counsel for the petitioner and the
learned APP for the State.

2. This is the 2nd attempt of the petitioner. The
petitioner seeks bail in connection with Khaira P.S. Case No.
201 of 2024 registered for the offence under Sections 319(2),
313(4), 303(2), 336(3), 340(2) of the Bharatiya Nyaya Sanhita,
2023.

3. Earlier the bail application of the petitioner was
rejected on 24.09.2025 in Cr. Misc. No. 66197 of 2025 which
reads as follows:-

*Heard learned counsel for the petitioner
and learned Additional Public
Prosecutor for the State.*

*2. The petitioner seeks bail in connection
with Khaira P.S. Case No. 201/2024
registered for the offences punishable*



under Sections 319(2), 318(4), 303(2), 336(3), 340(2) of B.N.S.

3. *As per the prosecution case, the petitioner is accused of committing A.T.M. fraud.*
4. *Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that petitioner is innocent and has falsely been implicated in the case. Learned counsel further submits that the name of the petitioner has come in the confessional statement of co-accused Rakesh Kumar Yadav who was apprehended on the place of incident. Learned counsel further submits that the petitioner is in custody since 02.06.2025 and he has got eight criminal antecedents in which he is on bail.*
5. *Learned APP for the State has opposed the prayer for regular bail of the petitioner.*
6. *Considering the fact that the petitioner was involved in ATM fraud and has got eight criminal antecedents, this Court is not inclined to grant bail to the petitioner.*
7. *Accordingly, this application stands dismissed.*

4. This Court finds no ground to review its earlier order. Accordingly, this application for regular bail stands



rejected.

5. The Court below is directed to frame the charges at the earliest as it has been submitted by the learned counsel for the petitioner that only cognizance has been taken so far.

(Sandeep Kumar, J)

Shishir/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

