

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.80705 of 2025

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-558 Year-2024 Thana- SAMASTIPUR MUFFASIL District-
Samastipur

=====

Laxmi Yadav @ Laxmi Kumar S/o Rameshwar Ray R/o Village - Kewas
Nizamat, P.S - Samstipur (Muffasil), District - Samstipur

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Ajay Kumar, Advocate

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Mithlesh Kumar Khare, APP

=====

**CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE RUDRA PRAKASH
MISHRA**

ORAL ORDER

3 11-02-2026 Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and learned APP
for the State. Perused the case diary.

2. The petitioner seeks bail in connection with
Samastipur (Muffasil) P.S. Case No. 558 of 2024 instituted for
the offence under Sections 80, 238 & 3(5) of the Bharatiya
Nyaya Sanhita, 2023.

3. Prosecution case in short is that daughter of the
informant has been done to death at her matrimonial house by
her in-laws due to non-fulfillment of the demand of dowry.

4. It has been submitted on behalf of the petitioner
that the petitioner is in custody since 24.04.2025. Petitioner
bears no criminal antecedent, as per disclosure made in



paragraph No. 3 of the bail application.

5. It has been further submitted by the petitioner's counsel that petitioner has been falsely implicated in the present case. Petitioner is the husband of the deceased. There is no specific allegation attributed to the petitioner, rather allegation is general and omnibus in nature. Charge sheet has already been submitted in this case.

6. Learned A.P.P. for the State has vehemently opposed the prayer for grant of bail to the petitioner. It is fervently submitted that petitioner, being the husband of the deceased, bears the onus of explaining the circumstances leading to her death.

7. Considering the aforesaid facts and circumstances of the case and taking into account the fact that petitioner, being the husband of the deceased, bears the onus of explaining the circumstances leading to her death, this Court is not inclined to grant bail at this stage. Prayer for bail is hereby *rejected*.

8. The Trial Court is directed to expedite the trial as expeditiously as possible without any undue delay and unnecessary adjournments.

9. However, petitioner will be at liberty to renew his prayer for bail, if the trial is not concluded within a period of



five months from the date of receipt/production of a copy of this
order.

(Rudra Prakash Mishra, J)

Raj Kishore/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

