

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.79715 of 2025

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-524 Year-2025 Thana- MOTIHARI MUFASIL District- East
Champaran

1. Dikshit Kumar @ Dikshit Jha Son of Tripakar Jha @ Kripakar Jha @ Kripakar Kumar Jha (wrongly written in the impugned order as 22 years), (wrongly written in the impugned order as well as in the F.I.R. as Krishnanandan Jha, Krishnanandan Jha is the name of his grandfather who is also the deponent to this application). Presently Residing at village Basatpur, P.S.-Muffasil, District- East Champaran, Permanent Residents of village Gujraul, P.S.-Patahi, District- East Champaran, Through their father, namely, Tripakar Jha @ Kripakar Jha @ Kripakar Kumar Jha, Aged about 47 Years (Male), Son of Krishnanand Jha @ Krishnanandan Jha @ Krishna Nand Jha, Presently Residing at village Basatpur, P.S.- Muffasil, District- East Champaran Permanent Resident of village Gujraul, P.S.- Patahi, District- East Champaran
2. Mokshit Kumar @ Mokshit Jha @ Mukshit Kumar @Mukshit Jha Son of Tripakar Jha @ Kripakar Jha @ Kripakar Kumar Jha Presently Residing at village Basatpur, P.S.-Muffasil, District- East Champaran, Permanent Residents of village Gujraul, P.S.-Patahi, District- East Champaran, Through their father, namely, Tripakar Jha @ Kripakar Jha @ Kripakar Kumar Jha, Aged about 47 Years (Male), Son of Krishnanand Jha @ Krishnanandan Jha @ Krishna Nand Jha, Presently Residing at village Basatpur, P.S.- Muffasil, District- East Champaran Permanent Resident of village Gujraul, P.S.- Patahi, District- East Champaran

... .. Petitioners

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s :	Mr.Pratik Mishra, Advocate
For the Opposite Party/s :	Mr.Sri Chandra Bhushan Prasad, A.P.P.
	Mr. Raushan Raj, Advocate

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE PRABHAT KUMAR SINGH
ORAL ORDER

7 10-07-2026 Heard learned counsel for the parties.

2. Petitioners apprehend arrest in a case registered for the offence punishable under sections 329(3), 126(2), 115(2), 118(1), 117(2), 109, 303(2), 61(2), 352, 351(2) and 3(5) of the Bharatiya Nyaya Sanhita, 2023.



3. As per FIR, petitioner no. 1 assaulted the informant with iron rod on the hand, whereas petitioner no.2 assaulted the informant with axe on the head.

4. Learned counsel appearing for the petitioners submits that these petitioners are innocent and have falsely been implicated in this case. as a matter of fact, it was family members of informant and 10 to 12 unknown person who entered the house of the petitioners and assaulted them with iron rod, farsa etc. five days prior the incident, brother of informant had demanded ransom amount of Rs.5 lacs from father of the petitioners with threats of dire consequences. Petitioners have filed supplementary affidavit seeking certain modification in prayer portion of the bail petition. Petitioners claim clean antecedent.

5. Learned counsel for the State and the informant opposed the prayer for bail.

6. Considering the facts of the case, prayer for bail of these petitioners is allowed. In the event of arrest/surrender within a period of eight weeks from today, let these petitioners, named above, be enlarged on bail on furnishing bail bond of Rs.10,000/- (ten thousand) each with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of the Juvenile Justice Board,



Motihari, East Champaran in Muffasil Police Station Case No.
524 of 2025, subject to the conditions laid down under section
482 Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023.

(Prabhat Kumar Singh, J)

shashi/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

